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Manner of Odilon Redon, *Vase of Flowers*, after 1928

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artist</th>
<th>Manner of Odilon Redon, French, 1840–1916</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td><em>Vase of Flowers</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object Date</td>
<td>after 1928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate and</td>
<td><em>Vase fleuri</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant Titles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Pastel on paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimensions (Unframed)</td>
<td>26 3/4 x 20 1/4 in. (68 x 51.4 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signature</td>
<td>Signed lower right: ODILON REDON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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*Vase of Flowers* entered the Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art collection in 1976 as an autograph work by Symbolist artist Odilon Redon (1840–1916). It came with a letter of certification from German art historian Klaus Berger, who had authored the first catalogue raisonné of Redon’s oeuvre (excluding his print production) in 1964. After examining the pastel closely in person, Berger determined that it was “entirely by Odilon Redon’s hand” and “in near perfect condition.” He proposed a date of 1911 or 1912 on stylistic grounds, noting that the still life possessed “all the properties” of Redon’s so-called “crystalline” period. Berger’s confident assessment, which he probably undertook at the request of Belgian dealer André Stassart (b. 1925), helped persuade museum trustees of the picture’s merits. The Nelson-Atkins acquired *Vase of Flowers* from Stassart with funding from local philanthropist Helen Foresman Spencer, who can be seen posing beside the pastel with museum director Laurence Sickman at the opening of the Spencer Impressionist Gallery on February 22, 1976 (Fig. 1).

Doubts first emerged about the work’s attribution in 1993, when the Wildenstein Institute declined to include *Vase of Flowers* in its four-volume catalogue raisonné of Redon’s paintings and drawings. Nelson-Atkins curator
Roger Ward expressed astonishment at this omission, prompting Wildenstein catalogue author Marie-Christine Decrooq to request documentation of the work’s early provenance and exhibition history. In particular, she questioned whether the still life had actually belonged to Dutch collector Andries Bonger (1861–1936) and whether the Galerie Georges Giroux in Brussels had truly exhibited it in 1920–1921, two issues that are addressed below. The museum’s misgivings about the picture grew in 2012, when conservator Nancy Heugh detected four additional “Odilon Redon” signatures on Vase of Flowers, none of which are visible in normal illumination. Rachel Freeman confirmed Heugh’s findings in 2020, and, in the process, discovered that the pastel’s support had once served as a mount for another artwork—an unusual reuse of materials for Redon. Decrooq’s concerns, coupled with the repurposed support and previously unknown inscriptions, prompted the museum to reexamine the pastel’s ownership history and commission Mellon Science Advisor John Twilley to conduct a pigment analysis. These research endeavors raised further red flags about the work’s authenticity, causing the museum to downgraded Vase of Flowers to “manner of” Odilon Redon and redate the work to “after 1928.” This essay discusses Redon’s belated embrace of pastel, the public fervor for his floral still lifes (which created a market for copies), and some unresolved issues surrounding the provenance and exhibition history of the Nelson-Atkins work.

Although Redon studied painting under Jean-Léon Gérôme (1824–1904) at the École des Beaux-Arts in 1864, he gravitated early on to charcoal drawings and prints. It was not until the mid-1870s that he began experimenting with pastel, right as Jean-François Millet (1814–1875) and Edgar Degas (1834–1917) were spearheading a pastel revival. Initially, Redon relied on charcoal underdrawings to guide his application of pastel, but as he grew more confident in his handling he abandoned this practice. Over time, pastel pictures superseded his work in black and white. At the March 1899 exhibition of avant-garde artists at the Galerie Durand-Ruel, art critic Julien Leclercq noted Redon’s shift in media and said his pastels displayed “the same lovely qualities of mystery and inner passion” as his drawings. By Redon’s final years, his commitment to pastel was such that he described himself as a “simple pastellist” in a letter to his son, Ari.

Coinciding with the surge in Redon’s pastel production was his embrace of new subject matter. Where previously Redon’s oeuvre had been dominated by biblical heroes, mythological figures, portraits, humanoid monsters, and dream fragments, he turned increasingly to flower pictures in the 1890s. Since their heyday in seventeenth-century Dutch art, floral still lifes had declined in popularity, but they made a comeback in nineteenth-century France. Margret Stuflleps posits that Redon drew inspiration from Eugène Delacroix’s (1798–1863) forays into this genre. It is also likely that Redon’s interest in flowers stemmed from his decades-long friendship with botanist Armand Clavaud. A professor at the Jardin des Plantes in Bordeaux, Clavaud not only published a catalogue of flowers native to that region but also researched the metaphysical dimensions of plants, arguing that each species had “its own living personality.” Redon, who met Clavaud around 1857 and remained close with him until the latter’s death in 1890, found this idea compelling. He developed an appreciation for the natural world, writing in his journal: “I love nature in all her forms; I love her in the smallest blade of grass, the humble flower, tree, grounds, and rocks, up to the majestic peaks of mountains.”

To facilitate his study of “the humble flower,” Redon
kept bouquets on hand in his home, as evidenced by Emile Schuffenecker’s (1851–1934) cover illustration for the 1890 issue of Les Hommes d’aujourd’hui (Fig. 2). The image, which accompanies a three-page article on Redon by Charles Morice, portrays the artist seated before an easel in his living room, a vase of white blossoms to his left. This bouquet may have been assembled by Redon’s wife, Camille, who often gathered both wildflowers and seasonal varieties from her garden in Bièvres to make pleasing arrangements. Redon considered bunches of cut flowers far preferable as motifs to flowers growing outdoors. In a letter to a patron, Redon mentioned that Camille was cultivating tulips in Bièvres and remarked: “I like these flowers much more in a vase on the mantel than planted in the fields, by necessity, in beds of a single color.”

Contemporaries of Redon responded enthusiastically to his flower pictures. Parisian industrialist Arthur Fontaine wrote that Redon’s blooms seemed to be made of “a sublime, precious, and mysterious substance; they enclose light.” Marius-Ary Leblond, a shared pen name for art critics Georges Athénaïs and Aimé Morlo, perceived spiritual undertones in his floral still lifes. They described them as “Nativities of flowers” and claimed that Redon “sees flowers in the heavens just as the ancient painters of the Virgin Mary and the Christ Child, from Giotto to Da Vinci, saw cherubs there.” This positive reception stoked demand for Redon’s floral works. By the early 1900s, an “ever-broadening audience” of collectors was clamoring for them, creating a market for copies. According to art historian David Freeman, who founded a consultancy specializing in fine art authentication and fraud detection, Redon’s flower pictures are “quite often faked” and imitated. Several floral still lifes by the “circle of Odilon Redon” or similar have appeared at auction in recent years.

On the one hand, Vase of Flowers evinces many of the qualities that scholars associate with Redon’s floral works, supporting a possible attribution to the artist. More than two dozen blossoms of varying hues, shapes, and sizes are clustered in a pearlescent vase. Some of these flowers are recognizable, such as the red nasturtium and poppy on the left side of the bouquet, the blue and white anemones to their right, and the daisies at top; others, however, may be products of the artist’s imagination. Unlike in Redon’s painting The Green Vase, where the titular vessel rests on a flat surface, here the vase is unanchored in space. Seemingly weightless, it floats unsupported against a yellow-orange background, surrounded by butterflies and scattered petals. This flattening of space is typical of Redon’s mature still lifes.

On the other hand, the vessel featured in Vase of Flowers does not appear in any of Redon’s acknowledged works. Alec Wildenstein and Agnès Lacau St. Guily identified eighty-one different vases, pots, and pitchers that Redon used for 332 still lifes. Certain vessels are simple and utilitarian, while others are highly ornamental. Several vases recall ceramic wares that Redon could have seen at world fairs, the Louvre, or the Musée de Cluny, and a select few are vases made by Redon’s friend, Russian potter Maria Sergeevna Botkina (1870–1960). None of the containers in the Wildenstein inventory are a precise match for the one in the Nelson-Atkins pastel, however, which is narrow at the bottom, bulbous in the middle, tapered toward the rim, and lacking in handles or decorative motifs. Its closest equivalent in shape and simplicity of design is a blue vase in a privately owned Redon still life (Fig. 3).
Many questions remain about the provenance of *Vase of Flowers*. According to a précis provided by Stassart at the time of purchase, the pastel had three prior owners: Adrian Bonger in Haarlem, the Chevalier de Selliers de Moranville in Brussels, and an anonymous private collector in Italy. A handwritten statement of certification from Raoul de Selliers de Moranville (b. 1942), declared that the still life “was bought or exchanged by my grandfather the chevalier L. de Selliers de Moranville from Mr. Bonger who was a very good friend. I sold this pastel in 1972 to the baroness Gacionia of Palermo.” Verifying this chain of ownership proved difficult; Stassart’s archives seem not to have survived, and a letter to her only known descendant went unanswered. However, Raoul de Selliers de Moranville, a retired archaeologist living in Liège, did respond to inquiries. He confirmed that he had authored the aforementioned document and reiterated that the pastel formerly belonged to his grandfather, Léonard de Selliers de Moranville (1853–1946), an artist, musician, and civil engineer who designed the Brugge-Zeebrugge Canal. Since Raoul’s father died prematurely of typhus in 1947, the picture passed directly from Léonard to his grandson when the latter was a child. Regrettably, Raoul could provide neither Mr. Bonger’s first name (“I unfortunately have no memory of Mr. Bonger”) nor that of the baroness, though he mentioned selling the work to the latter through an intermediary, Mr. Dehon of Schaerbeek. Raoul lacked any documentation to substantiate this provenance.

Another line of inquiry was to investigate “Adrian Bonger,” possibly a misspelling of Redon’s famous patron Andries Bonger. A Dutch insurance broker who lived in Paris during the 1880s and grew interested in modern art thanks to fellow expatriate Theo van Gogh, Bonger assembled a remarkable collection between 1893 and 1908. He met Redon in 1890 through Émile Bernard (1868–1941), began acquiring his works in 1893, and did much to build Redon’s reputation in the Netherlands. In addition to Stassart’s précis, two pieces of evidence link the Nelson-Atkins pastel to Bonger. The first is a faint verso inscription in red wax pencil that reads: “[O]. Redon / M. Bong[er] coll.” (Fig. 4). The second is a receipt in the Nelson-Atkins registrar’s office—evidently received from Stassart at the time of purchase—acknowledging that four pictures by Redon belonging to “Monsieur Bonger, Haarlem” were delivered on December 1, 1920, to the Galerie Georges Giroux, Brussels, for a posthumous retrospective on the artist (Fig. 5). Second on the list is “no. 49, Vase fleuri—pasted,” a work tentatively identified by the Wildenstein Institute as one of two other still lifes but which, this document suggests, could be the Nelson-Atkins pastel. However, Olivier Bertrand, founder of the Belgian Art Research Institute (today Belart International) and author of a forthcoming monograph on the Galerie Georges Giroux, questions the authenticity of this
Belgian historian Norbert Hostyn, who published an article on Léonard de Selliers de Moranville and knew his son-in-law, violinist Lucien Van Branteghem (1910–1994), was likewise surprised to learn of Léonard and Bonger’s friendship. Hostyn knew nothing of Léonard’s collecting activities and remarked that Van Branteghem “never told me about a Redon in the family.”43 Further research has shed no light on Léonard and Bonger’s relationship, and all evidence points to Léonard’s acquisition of the Nelson-Atkins pastel being anomalous.

Ultimately, although Raoul’s testimony corroborated some of the provenance data on Stassart’s précis, the date and means by which his grandfather obtained Vase of Flowers remain unclear. The absence of the pastel from the Bonger archive, its disputed inclusion in the 1920–1921 Brussels exhibition, the additional signatures observed by Heugh, and the anachronistic pigments cast serious doubt on the work’s autograph status. Despite the picture’s luminous palette and otherworldly ambiance, so characteristic of Redon’s floral arrangements, it is likely by another’s skilled hand.

Brigid M. Boyle
June 2022
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2. Letter of certification from Klaus Berger, October 26, 1974, NAMA curatorial files. “Le soussigné, Klaus Berger, déclare et certifie que le pastel reproduit au verso que j’ai pu examiner à loisir est entièrement de la main d’Odilon Redon. . . . Il est en état presque parfait” (I, the undersigned, Klaus Berger, declare and certify that the verso pastel, which I was able to examine at my leisure, is entirely by Odilon Redon’s hand. . . . It is in near perfect condition). All translations are by Brigid M. Boyle, unless otherwise noted.
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Vase of Flowers, originally accessioned as an autograph pastel painting by Odilon Redon, is an enigmatic artwork. While the subject matter is consistent with Redon’s late still lifes of flowers and vases, inconsistencies in technique and material choices, as well as a multiplicity of signatures, raise doubts about its authenticity. Analysis of the pastel determined that it is partly composed of materials that did not come into use until after Redon’s death. This entry briefly discusses the materiality of Vase of Flowers and how the materials and techniques present in the artwork differ from what is known of Redon’s later pastels.

Vase of Flowers is executed on a rough-textured, moderately thick paper1 attached overall to a paperboard.2 Voids in the media around the sunflower at lower right reveal brown paper with several fibrous inclusions. There is no evidence of watermarks or other indications of mill or manufacturer. On the back, along the upper edge, is an inscription in a red wax pencil reading “O. Redon / A. Bö[nn]ger Coll.” (see Fig. 4). The support appears to be a recycled mount from another artwork. It has a slight concave deformation, and with raking light, a 46 x 37 cm (height x width) rectangular pattern of skinning and loss is visible in the paper (Fig. 6). This loss is consistent with damage that occurs when an edge-mounted artwork is incautiously removed from a secondary support. The lower left corner of the support was lost and refabricated in an early restoration campaign. A long, complex tear, extending from the lower edge into the image area, may have been repaired at the same time or at a later date. The most recent treatment appears to be a large, Japanese-paper mend to the upper left corner. It stabilizes a crack in the paper and board. With the exception of the crack at upper right, the restored areas are masked with pastel that was applied as a part of the restoration campaign(s). There is no other visual evidence of restoration within the composition.

Digital infrared (IR) photography3 of the work revealed an underdrawing. Where visible in normal illumination, the underdrawing appears to be in a finely pointed, black pastel or compressed charcoal. There are multiple layers of pastel on the work. In the initial layers, dull tans and beiges dominate the palette. Layered over these are the greens and blues of the leaves, the vibrant colors of the flowers, and the bright hues of the background. The intermediate layers of pastel are blended, but not to the extent that the first layers exhibit. The final layers are characterized by short, diagonally applied strokes of pastel in the background and outlines, individual strokes, and dots of color in the leaves and flowers. The upper layers of pastel are loose and powdery, and some of the whites in the flowers at the top of the bouquet appear to be opaque watercolor. Although there is no visual evidence of a fixative4 between the layers of pastel, it is assumed to be present since the underlayers would be easily disturbed if left unfixed. There are a few small, dark brown spatters of a “fixative” within the vase and in the background immediately surrounding the bouquet.5 At lower right, one signature, in pointed charcoal pencil, is visible in normal illumination. A faint letter “O” from another signature is also partially legible. The remainder of the signature is obscured by overlying pastel. With the aid of infrared reflectography (IRR),6 conservator Nancy Heugh noted a total of five probable signatures, one at lower left and four at lower right, including the two mentioned above.7 The author of this entry documented all signatures in late 2020 (Fig. 7).
Fig. 7. Three images documenting Vase of Flowers (after 1928) with the aid of an infrared camera. At left is a reflected infrared digital photograph captured with a Nikon D700 UV-VIS-IR modified camera. Three signatures are visible; one at left (not visible under normal illumination) and two at right (both partially or completely visible with normal illumination). The upper left image is a reflectogram, taken from the screen of the museum’s Hamamatsu C1000-03 vidicon camera, showing the lower-right corner of the artwork. It is reproduced at lower right, with annotations indicating the locations of the inscriptions. The darkest inscription (1) is the signature that is easily readable in normal light. Immediately above is the inscription that is partially visible in normal light (2). It lies over a third inscription where only the “N” is discernable (3). Above and to the right of the “N” is a fourth inscription (4), reading: “[Illegible] RED.”

Although the presence of five signatures may be the most startling aspect of Vase of Flowers, inconsistencies in the artist’s technique and materials were the motivation for analysis of the pastel and “fixative.” Harriet Stratis provided comprehensive descriptions of Redon’s materials and technique in Odilon Redon: Prince of Dreams and a follow-up paper delivered to the Book and Paper Group of the American Institute for Conservation. Stratis commented that Redon prioritized the color and texture of the pastel medium in his late works. He used a resinous fixative on his noirs and early pastels, shifting to a transparent glue-based fixative after he became adept at pastel painting. He limited the application of fixative to the lower and intermediate pastel layers as his technique matured. By 1912, the previously assumed date for Vase of Flowers, Redon “often did not fix the uppermost layer of pastel” and used no fixative in the majority of works he produced after 1912. Furthermore, Redon exercised great care with his fixative application to pastels. The fixative was sprayed, a process that produces a mist of small droplets, which usually settle over broad areas of a composition. This droplet pattern is not consistent with the appearance of the “fixative” on the Vase of Flowers, which is dark brown and appears to be selectively spattered, a process that produces a variety of droplet sizes.

The reuse of a damaged support was also a cause for concern. Redon’s late career successes increased his income, making it possible for him to invest in new, quality materials. In contrast to the damaged support, the pastel maintains a vivid coloration overall, even though the colors in other pastel paintings by the artist are known to have faded significantly. The Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art did not undertake a full analysis of the pastel on Vase of Flowers. Instead, pigment testing was carried out as the most expedient method of determining the age of the artwork. John Twilley, Mellon science advisor, removed microsamples of pigment from representative areas of the composition. Microsampling locations were selected based on visual, textural, and UV fluorescence behavior and included the restored lower left corner. Samples were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy with elemental analysis provided by energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (SEM-EDS), by Raman spectroscopy, and by polarized light microscopy (PLM) of the dispersed pigment particles. The results demonstrated the presence of anatase titanium white in highlights in the vase and flowers in the bouquet and phthalocyanine blue in the bouquet. Titanium white was introduced in the early 1920s, while phthalo blue came into use in the 1930s. The presence of these pigments indicates that the creation of Vase of Flowers occurred after Redon’s death on July 6, 1916.

While portions of the pastel had a tough consistency suggesting impregnation by a fixative, the delicate surface precluded sampling for its identification. The “fixative” spatters on the surface of the pastel could be detached and were sampled for analysis by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). Evidence of glues—Redon’s fixative of choice with his pastels—was not present in the samples. Broad carbonyl peaks suggested the presence of a dried oil; however, as Twilley notes, the peaks were “too broad to be simply drying oil” and might represent a non-artist material that accidently adhered to the surface of the artwork.

Technical examinations and chemical analyses of the materials both disclose problematic aspects of Vase of Flowers. While the pastel painting very closely replicates Redon’s late artistic interests, factors such as the numerous signatures, anachronistic pigments, and deviations from Redon’s typical materials and techniques indicate that the artwork was likely produced
by an artist working in the manner of Redon’s luminous still lifes.

Rachel Freeman  
August 2022

Notes


2. In this entry, paperboard refers to “stiff and thick ‘paper’ which may range from a ‘card’ of 0.20 mm or 1/125th of an inch or more and vary in composition from pure rag to wood, straw, and other substances having little or no affinity with ‘paper’ beyond the method of manufacture.” See E. J. Labarre, A Dictionary of Paper and Papermaking Terms (Amsterdam: N.V. Swets and Zeitlinger, 1937), 208-09.

3. Infrared Digital photograph captured using a Nikon D700 UV-VIS-IR modified camera with Kodak Wratten 87C filter. See also Notes to Reader.

4. In this entry, “fixative” refers to a dark brown substance applied (either purposefully or by accident) in a spatter pattern to the vase and background in this pastel. When the word appears without quotation marks, the indication is of a traditional artist’s fixative.

5. The dark brown spatters were assumed to be a fixative by both Rachel Freeman and Nancy Heugh. See “Research Proposal: Mellon Conservation Science Endowment,” August 12, 2021, NAMA conservation file, F76-1, and Nancy Heugh, spring 2012, French Painting Catalogue Project Technical Examination and Condition Report, Nelson-Atkins conservation file, F76-1. See also Notes to Reader.

6. For specifications for the Hamamatsu C1000-03 vidicon camera, please see the Infrared Examination section in the Notes to Reader. The sensor (the lead sulfide tube) in the Hamamatsu C1000-03 is capable of recording wavelengths in the SWIR region (1000–2500 nm). Photographs of this type are called reflectograms. However, the only method for documenting the image is to photograph the Tektronics monitor screen. The infrared modified camera at The Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art is capable of documenting wavelengths in the NIR region (700-1000 nm.)


10. See Stratis’s commentary on The Boat and Orphelia in “Beneath the Surface: Redon’s Methods and Materials,” 374.


Documentation

Citation

Chicago:


MLA:

Provenance

Possibly Andries Bonger (1861–1936), Aerdenhout, The Netherlands, by December 1, 1920 [1];

Acquired from Bonger through purchase or exchange by Léonard-L.-Maurice-G. de Selliers de Moranville (1853–1946), Ostend, Belgium, no later than February 5, 1946 [2];

By descent to his grandson, Raoul-Léonard-Émile Bernard de Selliers de Moranville (b. 1942), Liège, Belgium, by February 5, 1946–1972 [3];

Purchased from the latter through Dehon, Schaerbeek, Belgium, by Baroness Giaconda, Palermo, Italy, 1972 [4];


Notes

[1] For the possible date of acquisition, see the Galerie Georges Giroux, Bulletin de Dépôt, December 1, 1920, NAMA curatorial files, which acknowledges the receipt of four works from "Monsieur Bonger, Haarlem" for the Exposition rétrospective d'Odilon Redon, Galerie Georges Giroux, Brussels, December 18, 1920–January 8, 1921.

The second work listed (no. 49, Vase fleuri, pastel) may be the Nelson-Atkins still life, although other scholars have previously identified no. 49 as Wildenstein cat. 1474 or 1512; see Alec Wildenstein and Marie-Christine Decrooq, Odilon Redon: Catalogue raisonné de l'oeuvre peint et dessiné, vol. 4, Études et grandes décorations; Supplément (Paris: Wildenstein Institute, 1998), 342.

Vase of Flowers does not appear in Andries Bonger’s insurance inventories of his collection, which are housed at the Bonger Archive, Rijksmuseum Amsterdam; see emails from Fred Leeman, independent art historian, to Brigid M. Boyle, NAMA, October 26, 2020 and January 28, 2021, NAMA curatorial files. Leeman notes that Redon’s widow, Camille Redon (née Falte, 1853–1923) actively organized exhibitions and sales of her late husband’s work during the 1920s, and he speculates that Bonger could have acted as an intermediary in her sale of Vase of Flowers, which could explain its absence from the Bonger Archive. We have not been able to corroborate this suggestion, however.


[2] Selliers de Moranville was an artist, musician, and civil engineer; see Norbert Hostyn, “Vergeten Oostendse kunstenschepers: Léonard de Selliers de Moranville,” De Plate 10, no. 12 (December 1981): 243. He and Bonger were supposedly close friends; see statement of certification from Raoul-Léonard-Émile Bernard de Selliers de Moranville, grandson of Léonard-L.-Maurice-G. de Selliers de Moranville, April 27, 1974, NAMA curatorial files. Selliers de Moranville passed away on February 5, 1946.

[3] Raoul-Léonard-Émile Bernard de Selliers de Moranville was only four years old when his grandfather passed away on February 5, 1946, so he is unsure exactly when the latter bequeathed the pastel to him. Raoul does not believe that his father, Maurice-Jules-Léonard de Selliers de Moranville (1911–1947), who died prematurely of typhus, ever owned the pastel. See emails from Raoul-Léonard-Émile Bernard de Selliers de Moranville to Brigid M. Boyle, NAMA, December 27 and 29, 2020, NAMA curatorial files.

[4] Raoul-Léonard-Émile Bernard de Selliers de Moranville cannot recall the first names of either Dehon, whom he described as an “expert en œuvre d’art,” or Baroness Giaconda; see email to Brigid M. Boyle, NAMA, December 29, 2020, NAMA curatorial files.

[5] Andrée Stassart (b. 1925) and her husband, Alexander N. Donskoy, were both dealers active in Paris. The pastel was in Stassart’s possession by autumn 1975; see letter from Ralph T. Coe, NAMA, to Andrée Stassart, October 23, 1975, NAMA curatorial files. She may have acquired it as early as April 27, 1974, the date that Klaus Berger (1901–2000), a retired art historian living in Paris, certified its authenticity, possibly at her request; see statement of certification by Klaus Berger, April 27, 1974, NAMA curatorial files.

The Nelson-Atkins paid for the purchase in two installments, the second of which was delivered on February 15, 1976; see letter from Andrée Stassart to Ralph T. Coe, NAMA, January 17, 1976, NAMA curatorial files.

Exhibitions

Possibly Exposition rétrospective d’Odilon Redon, Galerie Georges Giroux, Brussels, December 18, 1920–January 8, 1921, no. 49, as Vase fleuri.
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Possibly Exposition rétrospective d'Odilon Redon, exh. cat. (Brussels: Galerie Georges Giroux, 1920), unpaginated, as Vase fleuri.
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