French Paintings and Pastels, 1600–1945
The Collections of The Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art

Aimee Marcereau DeGalan, Editor
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<th><strong>Artist</strong></th>
<th>Jean Siméon Chardin, French, 1699–1779</th>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title</strong></td>
<td><em>Still Life with Cat and Fish</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Object Date</strong></td>
<td>1728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alternate and</strong></td>
<td><em>La Table de Cuisine; L'Office; The Lucky Thief; Le Larron en bonne fortune; Cat with Herring; Les Harengs avec Chat</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variant Titles</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medium</strong></td>
<td>Oil on canvas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dimensions</strong></td>
<td>31 1/2 x 25 1/4 in. (80 x 64.1 cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(Unframed)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Signature</strong></td>
<td>Signed lower center: Chardin [f ?]</td>
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<td>The Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art. Purchase: acquired through the generosity of an anonymous donor, F79-2</td>
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*Still Life with Cat and Fish* exemplifies Jean Siméon Chardin’s early style, when he first emerged as one of the most brilliant painters of his generation. The picture is datable to 1728, the year he was accepted into the Royal Academy of Painting and Sculpture as a “painter of animals and fruits.” Chardin pursued this humblest of genres, later adding scenes of daily life to his repertoire, and he continued to paint them for the rest of his career. He won the acclaim of collectors and critics for his astonishing technical abilities and keen powers of observation and pictorial mimesis during a time when academic doctrine ranked “history painting” (subjects drawn from biblical and classical literature) as an artist’s highest calling.

Like many of Chardin’s early still lifes, the Kansas City painting depicts the commonest of everyday objects displayed matter-of-factly along a rough stone shelf before a plain brown wall: a cut of salmon, placed so that its pink flesh faces the viewer, lies atop the ceramic lid of a pot; a scallion hangs tantalizingly over the edge, its bulb seeming to break the foreground plane; three mussels and a piece of fruit rest next to the scallion; while a pair of fish—they can be identified as hake,
although they have also been called herring and mackerel—hang from a hook suspended from above. Chardin animated the composition by introducing a lively calico cat that paws at the salmon and looks intently at something outside the canvas. These mundane objects are brought together by the artist with an exquisite sense of pictorial balance and energy, as the viewer’s eye is led across the composition and in and out of the shallow space. Chardin placed the stone shelf at a slight angle to the horizontal axis of the canvas, introducing a subtle dynamism to the play of forms, colors, and textures. The general composition and the warm tonalities demonstrate the influence that seventeenth-century Dutch still lifes had on Chardin’s art at this time.

descriptive handling of paint. In both compositions, Chardin juxtaposed the soft flesh of filleted fish—whether salmon or ray—with the hard shells of mussels or oysters, and in each he interrupted the mute stillness of the objects with the coiled intensity of a cat. One can speculate as to whether Chardin intended any specific meaning in associating these two compositions by repeating these motifs. The objects depicted are similar, as are the cats’ actions in the two paintings. The pictures are well balanced compositionally, and it may be that Chardin (or the collector who acquired them) sought nothing more than to link two closely compatible works visually and thematically.

Fig. 1. Jean Siméon Chardin, *Cat with Ray, Oysters, Cruet and Pot*, ca. 1728, oil on canvas, 31 15/16 x 25 1/4 in. (81.2 x 64.1 cm), Burrell Collection, Glasgow, Scotland, 35.57. Photo credit: Glasgow Museums

Fig. 2. Jean Siméon Chardin, *Still Life With Cat and Fish*, 1728, oil on canvas, 31 5/16 x 24 3/16 in. (79.5 x 63 cm), Museo Nacional Thyssen-Bornemisza, Madrid, inv. no. 119 (1986.3)

Chardin sometimes painted still lifes as pendant pairs (or, on rare occasions, as sets of four), and the Kansas City painting is associated with a canvas in the Burrell Collection, Glasgow, that also depicts fish hanging from a hook and a mischievous cat (Fig. 1). The two paintings are of similar size, and the setting is the same—a simple stone shelf against a rough wall—as is the richly
typical of a copyist or forger. In the Kansas City painting, Chardin painted mussels and a piece of fruit in place of the onion and the mortar and pestle that appear at the right in the Madrid variant, where the head of the cat is turned slightly more toward the viewer and the bulb of the scallion has longer roots. The Glasgow painting exhibits similar differences from its cognate. Slight as these alterations may seem, they nonetheless bring a degree of originality to what otherwise might have been uninspired repetitions.

Of the four paintings now in Madrid, Glasgow, and Kansas City, only the Madrid version of *Still Life with Cat and Fish* is dated (1728). Stylistically, the version at the Nelson-Atkins fits easily into this period of Chardin’s production. According to Rosenberg and supported by recent provenance research by Glynnis Stevenson, the earliest known owner of the Kansas City and Glasgow paintings was probably Armand Frédéric Ernest Nogaret (1734–1806), treasurer to the comte d’Artois before the French Revolution, whose collection was auctioned in Paris in 1807. The two Madrid versions, which Rosenberg deems likely to have been painted first, may be associated with those in the collection of M. Rémond, formerly the maître d’hôtel du roi (head of the king’s royal household), whose collection sold in Paris in 1778. The descriptions in the corresponding auction catalogues are vague enough, however, that it is difficult to be certain to which pair they refer.

It has been said that Chardin “can and often does make a story out of the contents of a shopping bag.” At the Beurnonville sale in Paris in 1881, the Madrid version was entitled *The Lucky Thief*, the cataloguer explaining that “a cat with red-and-tan tortoiseshell fur had slipped into the kitchen pantry.” Such amusing scenarios aside, one might wonder if Chardin intended any specific meaning in this particular grouping of cat, fish, vegetable, and fruit. The suspended hake—or herring—and the scallion (as well as the mortar and pestle in the Thyssen version) also appear in a still life of 1731 that has traditionally been titled *The Fast-Day Meal*, a pair to *The Meat-Day Meal* (both Musée du Louvre, Paris), but these references to the Lenten period in the Catholic calendar may not have been Chardin’s original concept. It would be difficult to accommodate the fruit (a peach?) in this reading. Cats, fish, and scallions all carried sexual connotations in Chardin’s day, as in earlier European eras. Longstanding superstition has associated cats with witchcraft and other magical powers, as well as with fertility and female sexuality (calico cats are nearly always female). By including the
calico cats so prominently in both the Kansas City and Glasgow paintings (and in the associated versions in Madrid), Chardin may have sought to draw upon such popular notions; he was not averse to depicting animals in allegorical guises (such as his various representations of monkeys as painters, *les singes-peintres*). He once painted a picture (now lost) that included a monkey, a dog, a cat, and crayfish, a grouping that remains mysterious.17

That said, the cats in the Nelson-Atkins painting and its related compositions strike a more straightforward, decidedly un-symbolic, pose: brilliantly observed and naturalistically rendered by the artist, these creatures are as much a part of the everyday life of the kitchen as the simple fish, the mundane vegetables and fruits, and the kitchenware. (The glass vinegar pitcher and the faience or porcelain bowl in the Glasgow painting and the mortar and pestle in Thyssen’s picture were common in many households.) They reinforce and vividly evoke the satisfying believability of the still-life composition. In the article on cats written for the *Encyclopédie*—the great project of the Enlightenment that sought to employ reason and scientific analysis to cut through centuries-old layers of superstition and dogma—the chevalier de Jaucourt avoided any commentary on cat folklore, reserving his discussion to matters of feline life cycle and anatomy, including questions such as why cats hate water or why, when dropped from high places, they land on their feet. Like Chardin’s convincing representations, Jaucourt’s descriptions of cats are based in clear-eyed observation: “Everyone knows that cats chase rats and birds; for they climb trees and jump with the greatest of agility, and they use cunning with notable dexterity. It is said that they are very fond of fish.”18

Richard Rand
July 2018

**Notes**


4. This was true of his still lifes in particular but also of his genre paintings; see Philip Conisbee, *Masterpiece in Focus: “Soap Bubbles” by Jean-Siméon Chardin*, exh. cat. (Los Angeles: Los Angeles County Museum of Art, 1990), 7.


8. Glynnis Stevenson, project assistant at the Nelson-Atkins, observes that another variation of the Glasgow picture was sold from the posthumous Pierre Decourcelle sale on May 29–30, 1911. Wildenstein also assumed this picture to be a forgery, but the slight shift of the silver salver leaning against the back wall and altered dimensions show an artist tweaking himself rather than the work of a forger. Wildenstein, *Chardin: Biographie et Catalogue Critiques*, no. 681.

9. There are three variants of each composition (the variant corresponding to the Kansas City painting has been cut to a horizontal format) that Rosenberg considers to be old copies; significantly, these are exact copies without any alterations; see Rosenberg, *Tout l’œuvre peint de Chardin*, p. 75, nos. 29b and 30b.

10. Wildenstein had read the date on the Thyssen painting (then in the Rothschild collection) as
1758, further confusing matters; but Rosenberg established that the correct reading is 1728. Rosenberg, “A Chardin for Kansas City,” 20–36.


17. See Rosenberg, Tout l’œuvre peint de Chardin, no. 28; on the singes-peonctres, see ibid., nos. 23, 24a, 93, and 94.
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Still Life with Cat and Fish by Jean Siméon Chardin (1699–1779) was completed early in the artist’s career, along with a closely related version in the Thyssen-Bornemisza Museum in Madrid (Fig. 2), painted in the same year. The Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art painting was executed on a medium weight canvas with cusping present on all four sides.1
Along the picture plane edges, the various preparatory layers are visible, and are consistent with Chardin’s known working style and use of a double ground. The lower ground layer is an opaque earth red color, likely red ochre, and is found along the top, right, and bottom edges and in small losses throughout the image. On top of this is a warm gray upper ground layer, composed of coarsely ground black, white, and earth pigments (Fig. 4). As with the lower red ground layer, this upper gray ground layer is found along all edges and in the same small losses, indicating both layers were applied across the entire painting.

An underpainting, cool gray in tone, was evenly applied across the upper two-thirds of the painting, appearing to end where the ledge’s top and front meet (Fig. 5). While the red ground layer does not appear to be intentionally visible in any part of the composition, the gray ground layer and gray underpainting emerge between some compositional elements, producing subtle neutral-warm and cool tones where visible.

To create a sense of depth throughout the background, Chardin applied thin blue paint, which appears as a scumble in some areas, with quick zig-zag strokes throughout the left side (Fig. 6). Composed of blue, black, and quite coarse yellow pigments, this blue layer
also forms the shadows of compositional elements and provides information on the ordering of the composition. While this blue layer extends beneath the cat, it is painted around the hanging fish, indicating the fish were painted first, then the blue background layer, and then the cat (Fig. 7). In both instances, the lower layers have assisted in unifying the composition, with the gray peeking through the fish, and the blue visible within the cat’s tail (Fig. 8). Additionally, this blue scumble creates an optical depth as the warm-to-cool tones play off one another.⁴

### Fig. 7. Detail illustrating how the application of blue background paint relates to the placement of the fish, *Still Life with Cat and Fish* (1728)

### Fig. 8. Detail of the cat’s tail, illustrating Chardin’s use of background paint as the lower layer of the tail, *Still Life with Cat and Fish* (1728)

The painting was completed overwhelmingly with wet-over-dry application, which supports the understanding that Chardin was a slow and meticulous painter, allowing each layer to dry fully before returning to the painting.⁵ Indeed, early sources comment on his sophisticated layering technique. In *La Correspondance littéraire, philosophique et critique*, Grimm wrote of Chardin in 1753, “He has an unusual way of painting; he lays on his colors one after the other hardly mixing them at all, with the result that his pictures look almost like mosaics or point-carré embroidery.”⁶ As is visible in this painting, Chardin created complex pigment admixtures on his palette before applying them to the canvas, layering them to contrast one another. In *The Salon of 1765*, art critic Denis Diderot wrote that “Chardin’s handling is unusual. It resembles the summary style [manièrè heurtée] in the way one can’t make things out from close up, while as one moves away the object coalesces and finally resembles nature; and sometimes it affords as much pleasure from close up as from a distance.”⁷ Within *Still Life with Cat and Fish*, these two descriptions are best depicted in the scales of the salmon. When viewed at close distance, the brushstrokes have little form, and the focus is on the contrasting colors and layers (Fig. 9). Upon stepping back, the brushstrokes suddenly appear opalescent, clearly representing scales. At an even greater distance, viewing the entirety of the painting, the cut of salmon takes shape and finds harmony within the picture.⁸

### Fig. 9. Detail of the dry brushwork in the salmon, *Still Life with Cat and Fish* (1728)

With no underdrawing or painted sketch detected, it appears Chardin painted directly onto the primed support without relying on any preliminary design, a technique observed on other Chardin paintings.⁹ Criticized for his laborious technique, Chardin often worked on only one painting at a time, taking months to complete it.¹⁰ If Chardin was in fact such a fastidious painter, agonizing over his compositions, this painting was possibly not the first version of its composition. In addition to no underdrawing, the Nelson-Atkins painting shows no indication of artist changes in x-radiography¹¹.

---
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or infrared reflectography, and the use of the thinly applied background color in and around the cat and fish respectively further indicates that Chardin firmly understood the composition before the painting began.\textsuperscript{12}

The painting is in very good condition overall. The canvas was glue-paste lined prior to its history at the Nelson-Atkins, and the painting has not been treated since entering the collection. There is minimal retouching visible when examined with ultraviolet (UV) radiation, with the exception of the left and bottom edges and a few isolated locations within the picture plane. Based on the UV-induced fluorescence, it appears the painting has a natural resin varnish with an overlying synthetic varnish.\textsuperscript{15}

Diana M. Jaskierny
December 2020

Notes

1. Although the tacking margins were removed when the painting was lined, cusping is visible along the edges of the picture plane and in x-radiography.

2. The left edge of the picture plane has been retouched, preventing identification of lower layers. However, losses near the left edge confirm the presence of the red ground layer along this side.

3. No samples were taken during this examination; however, technical examinations of other Chardin paintings have found similar stratigraphy and identified a red ochre ground and gray upper preparatory layer. Humphrey Wine, The Eighteenth Century French Paintings (London: National Gallery Company, 2018), 106. See also Sandra Webster-Cook, Kate Helwig, and Lloyd de Witt, “The Research and Conservation Treatment of Jar of Apricots / Le Bocal d’abricots, 1758 by Jean-Siméon Chardon,” AFC Paintings Specialty Group Postprints 26 (2013): 3–4.

4. This depth or “vibrancy” has been noted in other Chardin backgrounds, such as Soap Bubbles (after 1739; Los Angeles County Museum of Art). Joseph Fronek, “The Materials and Techniques of the Los Angeles Soap Bubbles,” in Masterpiece in Focus: Soap Bubbles by Jean-Siméon Chardin (Los Angeles: Los Angeles County Museum of Art, 1990), 23.


8. Harmony within his compositions was of great interest to Chardin. Studies of his paintings indicate he used a select number of pigments. By doing so, a relationship was formed throughout the composition. In *Still Life with Cat and Fish*, the same general pigments appear to be used as those found by other institutions. Examination was completed with a stereomicroscope. No pigment analysis was conducted during this examination. F. Schmid, “The Painter’s Implements in Eighteenth-century Art,” *Burlington Magazine* 108, no. 763 (1966): 519. For more analysis of Chardin’s palette, see also Ross Merrill, “A Step Toward Revising Our Perception of Chardin” in *AIC Preprints, American Institute for Conservation, 9th Annual Meeting, Philadelphia* (Washington, DC: American Institute for Conservation of Historic and Artistic Works, 1981), 123–28.


12. Chardin often created compositions in duplicates, with later versions having few pentimenti as he progressed. It is possible that this composition originated with a now lost sketch or that the Thyssen-Bornemisza painting was the earlier version. Philip Conisbee, *Soap Bubbles by Jean-Siméon Chardin*, 16.


15. The varnish produces an overall yellow-green UV-induced fluorescence that is indicative of natural resin. There is also a coating that fluoresces pale blue, likely indicating an early synthetic varnish, that is unevenly applied over the cat, the left background, and the tabletop.

---
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### Provenance

Probably Georges Alexis Bertrand Rémon (d. 1778), Saint-Julien-les-Villas, France, by May 3, 1778;

Probably purchased at his posthumous sale, *Tableaux Originaux des Grands Maîtres Des Écoles d’Italie, des Pays-Bas, et de France, après décès de M. Rémon, ancien Maître-d’Hôtel du Roi Louis XV*, rue Villedot, Paris, July 6, 1778, no. 74, as Deux tableaux sur toile, chacun de 30 pouces de haut, sur 20 de large; dans l’un on voit une roy, des huîtres et un chat; dans l’autre un chat, de la roy et deux merlans, by the dealer Jacques Langlier, Paris, 1778;

Probably Armand Frédéric Ernest Nogaret (1734–1806), by July 21, 1806 [1];

ancien Trésorier du ci-devant Comte d'Artois, etc., chez M. Thierry, chez MM. Jacques et Antoine Langlier, and hôtel de Gesvres, Paris, April 6, 1807, no. 5 or 6, as Divers poissons et un chat or Autre tableau de même genre, faisant pendant du précédent, by an unknown buyer;

Dominique Vincent Ramel-Nogaret (called Ramel de Nogaret, 1760–1829), Montolieu, Aude, Languedoc-Roussillon, France, by 1816 [2];

Transferred to his brother-in-law, Jean Antoine Bories (1763–1848), Montolieu, Aude, Languedoc-Roussillon, France, 1816–July 17, 1848 [3];

By descent to his son, Jacques-Pierre-François Bories (1819–1886), Montolieu, Aude, Languedoc-Roussillon, France, 1848–1865;

Purchased from Jacques-Pierre-François Bories by Charles Philippe Adolphe (1822–1890), baron Lepic, Poitiers and Montolieu, Aude, Languedoc-Roussillon, France, 1865–May 11, 1890 [4];

Possibly inherited by his wife, Claire Lepic (née Dauphola, 1836–1907), 1890–1897;

Purchased at Lepic’s posthumous sale, Beaux Meubles Louis XV et Louis XVI en laque et en marqueterie, enrichis de cuivres ciselés; Consoles et Glaces; Fau teuils en Tapisserie; Pendules et Appliques; Faiences françaises, Porcelaines, Jades et Objets variés; Tenture Chinoise en Soie peinte; et des Tableaux Anciens par Breughel de Velours, Chardin, Desportes, De Troy, Van der Meulen, J. B. Oudry, Rigaud, et tout provenant de la Collection de Feu M. le Baron Lepic, Galerie Georges Petit, Paris, June 18, 1897, no. 7, as L’Office, by [Armand] Levy [5];

Sigismond Bardac (1856–1919), Paris, by 1908—at least 1911 [6];

Alphonse Kann (1870–1948), Paris, no later than December 6, 1920;

Purchased at his sale, Catalogue des Tableaux–Dessins–Pastels, Principalement du XVIIe siècle des Écoles Anglaise, Française et Italienne, Sculptures du XVIIIe Siècle En Marbre, Terre-cuite, Plâtre, Bronze; Objets d’Art et d’Amu eblement; Porcelaines et Matières dure montées en bronze, Orfèvrerie, Bois sculptés, Cadres, Gales, Poêle en faïence, Objets divers; Bronzes d’Amu eblement, Pendules; Appliques, Baromètres, Cadres, Chenes, Candélabres, Flambeaux, etc.; Sieges et Meubles En Bois sculpté, Bois doré et Marqueterie, la plupart signés des Maîtres-Ebénistes du XVIIe Siècle, Paravent, Écrans et Sièges en tapisserie et savonnerie, etc. Composant la Collection de M. A. Kann, Galerie Georges Petit, Paris, December 6–8, 1920, no. 9, as Les harengs, probably by Arthur (1870–1936) and Hedy (1873–1952) Hahnloser-Bühler, Winterthur, Switzerland, 1920–no later than 1952 [7];

By descent to their son, Hans Robert Hahnloser (1899–1974), Bern, Switzerland, by July 7, 1951–no later than 1964 [8];

Purchased from Hahnloser by E. V. Thaw, New York, by 1974 [9];


Notes

[1] The Chardin pendants are in Nogaret’s posthumous inventory drawn up by the dealer Jacques Langlier (1732–1814) and the deceased’s heir, Dominique-Vincent Ramel-Nogaret (called Ramel de Nogaret), “Inventaire après décès d’Armand NOGARET, 21 juillet 1806,” Archives nationales, Paris, MC/ET/CXV/1075. The description of the painting is not definitive enough to undisputedly claim it is the Nelson-Atkins painting. However, Langlier was the likely link between the Rémont sale, where the dealer was the buyer, and the Nogaret collection. The provenance of the Museo Thyssen-Bornemisza related pendant pair, which claims Rémont and Nogaret as constituents, is based on Georges Wildenstein’s catalogues raisonnés of 1933 and 1969, but Nelson-Atkins research revealed this to be incorrect.

[2] Ramel de Nogaret was the heir of Armand Nogaret, but he did not inherit all of Armand’s art collection. It is likely that Ramel de Nogaret purchased the paintings by Chardin, as well as by Desportes, and Oudry, from the 1807 posthumous Nogaret sale. Later, these still lifes definitively appear in the collection of Baron Lepic, who had purchased the collection once owned by Ramel de Nogaret in 1865. The Museo Thyssen-Bornemisza claims their related pendant pair was in the 1807 posthumous sale based on the Georges Wildenstein catalogues raisonnés of 1933 and 1969, but Nelson-Atkins research revealed this to be incorrect.

[3] In 1816, Ramel de Nogaret and his wife were exiled to Belgium by the restored Bourbon regime for his role in the Directory and his support of the reign of Emperor Napoleon I. He was forced to transfer his property in Montolieu to Bories, his brother-in-law. See M. [Alphonse] Jacques Mahul, Cartulaire et Archives des Communes de l’ancien diocèse et de l’arrondissement administrative de
Carcassonne (Paris: Didron, 1857), 1:153, where Bories is listed as the inheritor of “Petit Versailles” in 1816. Bories’ son was listed as the owner of the property in 1857.


[5] See the handwritten annotation, “Levy”, in the sales catalogue housed at the Bibliothèque nationale de France, Paris. This is presumably Armand Levy, a Parisian art dealer who was also active on the city’s musical scene.

[6] See Jean Guiffrey, Catalogue raisonné de l’œuvre peint et dessiné de J.-B. Siméon Chardin (1699–1769), Suivi de la liste des gravures exécutées d’après ses ouvrages (Paris: Imprimerie G. Kadar, 1908), 96, which is the earliest text to identify Bardac as the owner of both the Nelson-Atkins and Glasgow pictures. Bardac and Levy are cited together in one account of the provenance of the painting by Circle of Jacques-Louis David, Portrait of a Young Woman in White, ca. 1798, National Gallery of Art, Washington, DC, thereby hinting that Levy may have sold the Nelson-Atkins painting to Bardac.

[7] According to a photo sheet from the Duits collection at the Getty Research Institute, the painting was purchased at the 1920 sale by “Hambloser.” This is probably a misspelling of Hahnloser, since Arthur and Hedy Hahnloser owned the painting at the time of the 1940 Die Hauptwerke der Sammlung Hahnloser, Winterthur exhibition in Lucerne. See The Getty Research Institute, Los Angeles, Photo Archive, French, Chardin, Jean Baptiste-Simeon (1699–1779), Paintings, Still Life cont., 76.P.55, Box 231. Dr. Margrit Hahnloser-Ingold does not deny that Hedy Hahnloser-Bühler was the buyer at the 1920 sale; see email from Dr. Margrit Hahnloser-Ingold to Glynnis Stevenson, NAMA, July 23, 2020, NAMA curatorial files.

[8] A verso label for the exhibition De Watteau à Cézanne, Musée d’art et d’histoire, Geneva, July 7–September 30, 1951, lists “Madame H. Hahnloser / Tössstrasse 42 / Winterthur [sic]” as the lender. However, a pen inscription corrects this to list “Hans-Bernhard / Hahnloser / Bern” as the lender. See emails from Dr. Margrit Hahnloser-Ingold to MacKenzie Mallon, NAMA, March 13, 2014, and to Glynnis Stevenson, NAMA, July 23, 2020, NAMA curatorial files, where she states that Hans Hahnloser gave the painting to a dealer named Burchard and confirms that Burchard came from Egypt. This is probably the Swiss painter and dealer Irmgard Micaela Burchard Simaika (1908–1964). She married the Egyptian mathematician, Jacques Boulos Saimaika (1914–1994), in 1952, and moved to Cairo.


[9] See email from Patricia Tang, E. V. Thaw and Co., to MacKenzie Mallon, NAMA, February 27, 2014, NAMA curatorial files, where she states that Thaw purchased the painting from Hahnloser’s heirs. This is probably a reference to Hans Hahnloser, son and heir of Arthur and Hedy Hahnloser-Bühler.
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Exhibitions

L’Exposition artistique et archéologique, Poitiers, France, May 14–July 14, 1887, no. 1042, as Chats et Poissons.
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