When François Boucher created this pastel of Venus and Cupid in the early 1750s, his collaboration with Jeanne Antoinette Poisson (1721–1764), Marquise de Pompadour, had attained its zenith. Boucher scholar Jo Hedley has coined the period from 1750 to 1759 as the era when Boucher was firmly “Pompadour’s Painter.”¹ This was a critical time for both painter and Pompadour, when he was elevated at the court of Versailles and she transitioned from being King Louis XV’s powerful paramour, exercising a unique amount of control over his schedule and heart, to his advisor, friend, and the cultural center of aristocratic society, a position she would maintain until her premature death in 1764. Boucher painted three images of her between 1745 and 1759, but her inspiration was not limited to direct portraiture.² The hold she maintained over court culture after leaving the King’s bed, and her patronage of leading artists, ensured that her spirit imbued Rococo depictions like Boucher’s Venus, the goddess of love.

Beyond Hedley, other scholars have also honed in on the 1750s as an important moment of transition for Boucher and his patron. These studies highlight the distinction between 1745, when Pompadour, then a married twenty-four-year-old presented as Madame Lenormand d’Étoiles, came to court initially at the behest of a smitten Louis XV, and 1750, when she and the king ceased sharing a bedchamber.³ As Pompadour moved away from a primarily physical relationship with the king, she deepened her collaboration with Boucher. He had gained her attention first in 1749 when he received a royal commission to paint a large canvas titled Apollo Revealing His Divinity before the Shepherdess Issa (Fig. 1)
shortly after Pompadour’s performance as Issa in her Théâtre des Petits Appartements (Theater of the Small Apartments). She created the troupe not only to bring the Parisian culture she sorely missed to the relatively quiet court but also to keep the frequently bored and very insulated king entertained. The play, based on Ovid’s *Metamorphoses*, was first staged in 1697 for the Apollo-centric court of the domineering Louis XIV, known as the Sun King. In 1749, its revival flattered the Sun King’s less powerful great-grandson and his mistress alike, focusing on the lowly shepherdess who falls for the god of the sun without knowing about his divinity. Pompadour, born into the newly wealthy Parisian bourgeoisie rather than the titled nobility, irked many aristocrats with her shattering of class norms, but in her theatrical troupe that performed exclusively in royal spaces, particularly the King’s private apartments, she played on these anxieties by acting out the roles of mortals and goddesses alike.

Pompadour declared: “My rivals seek to win over me: I never had such a desire to please,” to which her lover Mars, god of War (meant to flatter the King, who had recently ceded significant territory in military negotiations), responded, “Are there rivals to fear / With the attractions of Venus?” Beyond merely entertaining the most powerful figures at Versailles and later at the Château de Bellevue, where Pompadour moved in 1750, the Theater of the Small Apartments was one way in which Pompadour immersed herself in politics via her beauty, talent, charm, and wit. It was not mere attractiveness that enabled Pompadour’s rapid ascent at Versailles, as a woman of bourgeois birth. These attributes are emphasized (or find visual form) in the Nelson-Atkins composition of Venus and in the artist’s other drawings of the subject.
—he added more solidity and swirling drama to his output, emulating the work of Peter Paul Rubens (Flemish, 1577–1640). While many of Boucher’s Venuses sprawl out on a bed of some sort, the Nelson-Atkins Venus stands upright against a bundle of profuse drapery, accompanied by her son Cupid. Venus’s arched back, set against the heavy fabric, exposes her body even more to scrutiny and is a bold pose shared by the Getty’s closely related, albeit reversed, composition (Fig. 2). Both revel in the ability of the soft media of pastel to render curvy flesh, loose fabric, and delicate flowers. There are distinct differences between the two works, suggesting that the reversal of the composition was not done in preparation for engraving (where the printing process produces a flipped image of the original), although Boucher’s popularity did lead to the frequent reproduction of his works in many media. The Getty’s Venus has a rounder face, and there are sharper contrasts between the striations of white chalk highlights and peach-toned skin, emphasizing folds of skin and her soft, protruding belly. The fabric swathing the goddess’s body seems to dissipate into diffuse cirrus clouds, created with a smoother chalk application than the stippled bursts of white making up most of Venus’ breasts, stomach, and thighs.

Both Venus’s and Cupid’s flesh tones are more modulated in the Nelson-Atkins pastel than in the Getty picture, eliminating the allover highlights in favor of small touches of white at Cupid’s wingtips. Additionally, Venus’s accoutrements are more developed in the Nelson-Atkins pastel. For example, the flowers at her feet, though still drawn in loose gestural strokes, here take on the look of a lover’s bouquet recently discarded instead of the wild plant climbing up to just below her extended arm in the Getty pastel. The outlines of bodies and cloth in the Nelson-Atkins picture are darker and more defined, and the anatomies of both Venus and Cupid are more naturalistic, although the impossibly pert, tacked-on busts in both pastels, in addition to the identical faces of all Boucher’s Venuses, suggest that the artist worked diligently to perfect and idealize his goddess over multiple sittings. The minute tuft of white highlight at the tip of Venus’s left little finger in the Nelson-Atkins pastel flaunts not only the artist’s fondness for flourishes but also the goddess’s potential to conjure and create beauty, something the Marquise de Pompadour was keen to associate herself with as her time as court ingénue drew to a close.

The Marquise’s self-association with goddesses enabled her to cloak herself in the safety of allegory while revealing more of her physique. In 1746, Jean Marc Nattier (1685–1766) produced a cheeky portrait of Pompadour as Diana, the steadfastly chaste goddess of the hunt (Fig. 3), shortly after the Marquise began her affair with the king. Hunting was Louis XV’s favorite activity, and Pompadour’s costume references this...
pastime, in which she was a passionate participant. Her Diana dress, as seen in Nattier’s portrait, drapes deeply across her décolletage, titillatingly denying a view of her breasts and maintaining a coy air at a time when the bourgeois marquise was entertaining the king sexually and breaking rules of decorum regarding who could be a favorite. However, in the five years when Pompadour was the King’s lover, her portraits maintained this veneer of chastity. In another Boucher painting made to commemorate Pompadour’s achievements onstage, titled Vertumnus and Pomona to align with that year’s theatrical repertoire, Pomona-Pompadour’s right areola peeks out from the top of her dress, but the nipple itself remains hidden (Fig. 4). Onstage, Pompadour was armored in the stiff bodices and full skirts of the era, but the painterly renditions toyed with the idea of exposure while simultaneously denying it.

In contrast, the Venuses of the 1750s, created after Pompadour was no longer sharing the King’s bed, are outrightly bold in their sexuality. Unlike Boucher’s portrait of Pompadour as Diana, the Venus pictures do not bear her exact features, granting plausible deniability as to the identity of the woman depicted. Nevertheless, the association between Venus and Pompadour was acknowledged both onstage, as previously noted, and off, as the Marquise herself commissioned images of the goddess of love to decorate her private Château de Bellevue.

In 1750, Pompadour commissioned the sculptor Jean-Baptiste Pigalle (1714–1785) to render her in marble as the goddess Friendship (Fig. 5). The finished work shows Pompadour offering her heart to the king via an exposed left breast, even though she had ceased to have a sexual relationship with him. The loose chemise now dips lower, exposing that which was merely hinted at before. This audacity was a strong message to her enemies at court that Pompadour remained a central force at Versailles, symbolized in her life-giving bare breast. Anya Shulman suggests that Boucher’s Venus is not exposed but rather revealing herself, the epitome of confidence. Similarly, in the Nelson-Atkins picture, a voluptuous Venus stands solidly on the ground with heavy drapery bustled and billowing behind her, confident. After her transition from mistress to beloved royal confidante, Pompadour gained her own château, strengthened her support for the arts, and was elevated to the rank of duchess in 1753; arguably, she was a more powerful figure after she ceased intimacy with the King of France.

Glynnis Napier Stevenson
June 2023

Notes


2. See Alexandre Ananoff and Daniel Wildenstein, François Boucher, vols. 1 and 2 (Lausanne: Bibliothèque des Arts, 1976), nos. 475, 521–22. They are in the Alte Pinakothek, Munich; Waddesdon Manor, Aylesbury, UK; and the Wallace Collection, London.

3. For a few examples beyond Hedley, see Ian McInnes, Painter, King and Pompadour: François Boucher at the Court of Louis XV (London: Frederick Muller, 1965); Margaret Crosland, Madame de Pompadour: Sex, Culture, and Power (Phoenix Mill, UK: Sutton Publishing, 2000); Evelyne Lever, Madame de Pompadour: A Life, trans. Catherine Temerson (New York: Farrar, Straus, and Giroux, 2000); Christine Pevitt Algrant, Madame de


5. The following each have an appendix stating which plays were performed and when: Winston Haverland Kaehler, “The Operatic Repertoire de Madame de Pompadour’s Théâtre des Petits-Cabinets (1747–1753)” (PhD diss., University of Michigan, 1971); Hourcade, Madame de Pompadour et le théâtre des Cabinets du Roi. Louis XV was thrilled by Pompadour’s creative output and even had a bound volume published of plays performed in the Theater of the Small Apartments from 1748 to 1749. Savill, Everyday Rococo, 94.


7. By court standards, Richelieu should have had control over entertainments in the King’s apartments, but he was overruled by Pompadour. His stature was not restored until Pompadour’s death in 1764. Algrant, Madame de Pompadour, 84.


9. Hedley’s discussion of Boucher’s artistic production beginning in 1750 testifies to the artist’s newfound appreciation for Rubens, whose depictions of women were fleshy, curvy, and pink. Hedley, François Boucher, 109.

10. The frequency with which Boucher’s works were replicated makes it difficult to identify which are originals and which are working drawings, counterproofs, or copies. See Regina Shoolman Slatkin, “Some Boucher Drawings and Related Prints,” Master Drawings 10, no. 3 (Autumn 1972): 264–331. Both the Nelson-Atkins and Getty drawings are accepted as original works by the artist. The solid dating of the Getty picture to the early 1750s, confirmed by the drawing’s inscription documenting Boucher’s gift to a “M. Denis” in 1752, supports that the Nelson-Atkins pastel shares a similar date and was produced in the afterglow of Pompadour’s performance as Venus.


12. For a 1749 production of Handel’s opera Acis and Galatea, in which Pompadour played Galatea, her dress was described by the head of costuming, Madame Schneider: “Large skirt of white taffeta painted with roses, shells, and water jets, embroidered with silver frieze edged with a green chenille network; corset of soft pink taffeta; large drapery of silver and green with small stripes, with a weave of another water gauze; the mantle and drapery fully lined with white taffeta. The whole outfit is bordered with tassels and strings of pearls part of which has been rented.” Hourcade, Madame de Pompadour et le théâtre des Cabinets du Roi, 1748. There is an informative gouache by Charles-Nicolas (the Younger) Cochin of this production, made in situ, now in the collection of the National Gallery of Canada; it shows that, even playing a water nymph, Pompadour wore a surplus of fabric. Charles-Nicolas (the Younger) Cochin, Marquise de Pompadour in a Scene from “Acis et Galatée,” 1749, gouache over graphite with traces of pen and brown ink on ivory laid paper, with gold-leaf paper borders, sheet: 6 1/2 x 16 1/8 in. (16.5 x 41 cm.); image: 5 11/16 x 15 1/4 in. (14.5 x 38.8 cm.), National Gallery of Canada, 41953, https://www.gallery.ca/collection/artwork/marquise-de-pompadour-in-a-scene-from-acis-et-galatee.


### Technical Entry

Technical entry forthcoming.

### Documentation

#### Citation

**Chicago:**


**MLA:**


#### Provenance

Possibly François Boucher (1703–1770), Paris, by May 30, 1770; Possibly purchased at his posthumous sale, *Tableaux, desseins [sic], estampes, bronzes, terres cuites, laques, porcelaines de différentes sortes, montées et non montées, meubles curieux, bijoux, minéraux, cristallisations, madrepores, coquilles et autres curiosités, qui composent le cabinet de feu M. Boucher, premier peintre du Roi, cette vente se fera au Vieux Louvre, dans l'appartement du défunt Sieur Boucher, Boucher’s apartments, Louvre, Paris, February 18, 1771, lot 382, as *Vénus debout, appuyée sur ses vêtemens, accompagnée de l’Amour, dessein [sic] au trois crayons, sur papier bleu*, by Pierre Rémy, 1770 [1];

Possibly Pierre Louis Paul Randon de Boisset (1708–1776), Paris, by September 28, 1776;

Possibly purchased at his posthumous sale, *Tableaux et desseins [sic] précieux des maîtres célèbres des trois écoles, figures de marbres, de bronze et de terre cuite, estampes en feuilles et autres objets du cabinet de feu M. Randon de Boisset, Receveur général des Finances, par P. Rémy, on a joint à ce catalogue celui des vases, colonnes de marbres, porcelaines, des laques, des meubles de Boule et d’autres effets précieux, Chariot, Rémy, Julliot, Paris, March 10, 1777, lot 350, as *Deux différentes compositions de Vénus et l’Amour, faites au pastel*, by Robert Quesney (d. 1811), 1777–1789 [2];

Possibly “Calonne Angelot,” by May 11, 1789 [3];

Possibly sold at his anonymous sale, *Une belle collection de tableaux des trois écoles, dessins montés, miniatures, estampes en recueils et en feuilles, marbres, figures de bronzes, belles tables de porphyre rouge et vert du plus beau choix, meubles et autres objets curieux, composant le cabinet de M**** [Calonne Angelot], C. P. Lebrun et Brusley, Paris, May 11–14, 1789, lot 228, as *Deux beaux dessins au pastel, représentant Vénus et l’Amour. Ces deux compositions différentes, sont du meilleur temps de ce Maître. Ils viennent de la Collection de M. Randon de Boisset;*

With Alexander Barker, London, by March 1841 [4];

Purchased from Barker by Bindon Blood, Esq. (1775–1855), Ennis, County Clare, Ireland, and Edinburgh, as *Cupid unrobing Venus*, March 1841–1855 [5];

Purchased at his posthumous sale, *The Very Extensive Collection of Engravings, from the Earliest Period of the Art to the present time, Formed by the Late Bindon Blood, Esq. of Ennis, County Clare, Ireland, Embracing Historical, Sacred and Profane Subjects, Portraits, Landscapes and Compositions, of the Italian, German, Dutch, Flemish, and French Schools, An Extensive Series of Engravings, After the paintings of Rubens, VanDyck [sic] and Others, The Works of*
Rembrandt and Hollar, The Productions of Wille and Other Engravers, A Large Assemblage of English and Foreign Portraits, Prints to Illustrate the Dictionaries of Pilkington, Strutt, Bryan, etc., Numerous Original Drawings, by the Old Masters, And a Thousand Original Sketches, by the Eminent Artist, Walter Geikie, Capital Portfolios, etc., S. Leigh Sotheby and John Wilkinson, London, July 18–23, 1856, no. 86, as Venus and Cupid, by François Rapilly, 1856 [6];

With Richard H[ans] Zinser, Forest Hills, Queens, NY, stock no. 439, as Venus with Cupid, by March 11, 1966 [7];


NOTES

[1] Rémy (1715/6–1797) was a dealer and author of Boucher’s posthumous sale catalogue. According to an annotation in that catalogue, he bought the drawing from this sale.

The early provenances of Boucher’s multiple pastel drawings of a nude, standing Venus are often difficult to differentiate. Boucher’s posthumous sale featured a Standing Venus, Leaning Against Her Clothes, Accompanied by Love, which was a “drawing in three crayons, on blue paper.” Technical analysis by Rachel Freeman, conservator, paper and Asian art, NAMA, has shown that this Boucher drawing does feature multiple colors, but the paper is a cream/beige color rather than blue.

The Albertina Museum’s François Boucher, Venus with Apple and Cupid of 1763 features black and white chalk on blue natural paper colored brown, so the artist did sometimes tone his papers before settling down to draw. See François Boucher, Venus with Apple and Cupid, 1763, black and white chalk, blue natural paper colored brown, 14 1/2 x 8 7/20 in. (36.8 x 21.2 cm), Albertina Museum, Vienna, Inv. Nr. 12131, https://sammlungenonline.albertina.at/?query=search=/record/objectnumbersearch=12131&showtype=record.

After further discussion with Alastair Laing and Neil Jeffares, it is very likely that the unusual pose of Venus “leaning against her clothes,” as well as the strong potential that catalogue author Pierre Rémy made a mistake in noting the paper color, confirms the early provenance of the Nelson-Atkins Boucher. The works in the 1771 posthumous Boucher sale and the 1777 posthumous Randon de Boisset sale have the same dimensions and descriptions and are likely the same work. According to Laing, “we do not have such a well-matched pair, each with Cupid, as the Randon de Boisset and ‘Calonne Angelot’ sales.” See email thread from Alastair Laing, Boucher expert, and Neil Jeffares, pastel expert, to Aimee Marcereau DeGalan, Rachel Freeman, Meghan Gray, and Glynnis Napier Stevenson, NAMA, begun April 30, 2023, NAMA curatorial files.

[2] Pierre Rémy organized the Randon de Boisset sale in 1777; therefore, it is possible that he inserted the Boucher pastel into the sale, and Randon de Boisset did not own the work at all.

[3] According to the Getty Provenance Index, “There is a good chance that Calonne Angelot was a fictitious name. It appears that most, if not all, of the lots had belonged a short time earlier to the dealers A. J. Lebrun or Langlier. The name appears nowhere other than as an annotation on this catalogue.” “Sale Catalog F-A967,” Getty Provenance Index, Los Angeles.


For further corroboration of Blood’s style of inscription and confirmation of Barker selling to Blood in March 1841, see email from Glynnis Napier Stevenson, NAMA, to Hugo Chapman, British Museum, London, February 16, 2022, NAMA curatorial files. See also Frits Lugt, Les Marques de Collections de Dessins et d’Estampes (Amsterdam: Vereenigde Drukkerijen, 1921), no. L.3011.

Alexander Barker (1802–1873), based in London and Yorkshire, was a collector and dealer who also frequently worked with the Rothschilds. See “Barker, Alexander,” Grove Art Online (2003): http://doi.org/10.1093/gao/9781884446054.article.T006390

[5] Bindon Blood was a collector of prints and antique books. He acquired the nickname “the Vampire” for his voracious appetite for these objects at auction.

[6] For buyer’s last name, see annotated sales catalogue from the Philadelphia Museum of Art Library. The Rapilly family were publishers of prints and art publications active on Paris’s Quai Malaquais; François Rapilly (1820–1892) was a print dealer attached to the Bibliothèque nationale de France.

[7] See a letter from Richard H[ans] Zinser to Ross Taggart, The Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art, March 4, 1966, NAMA curatorial files, which says, “this pastel was for many years as a loan from the imperial family
deposited in this [Albertina’s] Drawing collection. But after the first war, all claims for property of the imperial family, was handed over to them, as well as many of the imperial Crown jewels."

In 1919, the ownership of Royal Habsburg collection passed from the Habsburgs to the newly founded Republic of Austria. In 1921, the Albertina Museum was created with this collection. However, because there are no identifying marks on this pastel, it is unlikely that the work was owned by Archduke Friedrich, Duke of Teschen. See email from Meghan Gray, NAMA, to Julia Eßl, Albertina Museum, December 12–14, 2018, and Julia Eßl to Glynnis Napier Stevenson, NAMA, October 12, 2021, NAMA curatorial files.

From 1895 until 1919, Archduke Friedrich, Duke of Teschen (1856–1936), owned a collection of prints and drawings that he inherited from his uncle, Archduke Albrecht Friedrich Rudolf Dominik of Austria, Duke of Teschen (1817–1895). In 1921, these formed the collection of the Albertina Museum, Vienna.

The Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art did not purchase the pastel until 1966, which the Albertina’s provenance specialist Julia Eßl argues is a long time after the Albertina acquired the Habsburgs’ old collection and other old Habsburg items were sold through the Viennese auctioneer Albert Kende in the early 1930s. However, this does not negate that Zinser may have owned the pastel for a long time. See Julia Eßl, Albertina Museum, to Glynnis Napier Stevenson, NAMA, October 12, 2021, NAMA curatorial files.

The Nelson-Atkins acquired from Zinser a facsimile of Venus with Cupid (1730–70; Albertina Museum, https://sammlungenonline.albertina.at/?query=search=/record/objectnumbersearch=12133 &showtype=record) at the same time as the acquisition of the pastel. There are several other interesting, related works in that collection. 

Due diligence has been performed on sales catalogues that contain Boucher works from the 1750s until 1966. Additional care has been taken to research the window from 1933 to 1945. Due to the suggested provenance from the Albertina, we looked at the well-documented sales from Albert Kende Auction House in Vienna in 1933 and the Dorotheum in Vienna in 1941. Neither of these sales, which both featured works formerly in the Habsburg Collections, contained this drawing.


Related Works

François Boucher, Venus and Cupid, about 1750–1752, black, white, red, blue, and green chalk, 14 3/4 x 8 3/8 in. (37.5 x 21.3 cm), J. Paul Getty Museum, Los Angeles, 84.GB.20.

François Boucher, Standing Girl, 1730–1770, black and white chalk, red chalk, green and purple pastel pencil, 13 2/3 x 7 4/5 in. (34.7 x 20 cm), Albertina Museum, Vienna, 12133.

François Boucher, Venus and Cupid with Doves, 1750s, black chalk and pastel, 12 1/5 x 8 1/2 in. (38 x 21.7 cm), Albertina Museum, Vienna, 12132.

François Boucher, Venus with Apple and Cupid, 1763, black and white chalk, blue natural paper colored brown, 14 1/2 x 8 7/20 in. (36.8 x 21.2 cm), Albertina Museum, Vienna, 12131.

Exhibitions

Eighteenth-Century European Drawings from the Collections of the William Rockhill Nelson Gallery of Art, Kansas City, and the Museum of Art and Archaeology, University of Missouri-Columbia, Museum of Art and Archaeology, University of Missouri-Columbia, October 18–November 18, 1979, unnumbered, as Venus and Cupid.

Art in the Age of Revolution, Spencer Museum of Art, University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, July 14–December 1, 1989, no cat.
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