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This oil sketch by Etienne Aubry of a pair of young lovers in distress is by one of the eighteenth century’s leading genre painters. However, questions surrounding its attribution have intrigued scholars from the moment it appeared on the market in 1985. There has been little scholarship on Aubry overall, making any definite conclusions difficult.¹ At one point, a label attached to a former frame suggested that this was the work of Aubry’s contemporary Etienne Théaulon (1730–1780), though there was little to support this assertion.² Ahead of the Nelson-Atkins’s acquisition of the painting, the dealer Derek Johns, who had once purchased it, affirmed that the late Greuze scholar Anita Brookner had attributed the painting to Aubry.³ Johns also drew the museum’s attention to two pencil sketches on the verso (Fig. 1), which are no longer visible after relining.⁴ These drawings point to the centrality of the young woman’s emotions and reflect the artist’s desire to perfect his composition. The painted sketch on the front of the canvas softens the firm lines of the pencil drawings, heightening the drama and sentimentality of the work in a way that sharp edges could not. The drawings also reveal the extent to which Aubry developed this subject, even if he never produced a related finished canvas for the prestigious Salon.⁵
Another open question about this painting concerns its precise dating. The artist’s career was just a decade long, from 1771 to his premature death in 1781, thus narrowing the window for its production considerably. Based on the hairstyles and costuming of the central young lovers, fashion historian Kimberley Chrisman-Campbell supports a date of about 1775. Around that time, Aubry was deep in his study of the theatrical works of Jean-François Marmontel (1723–1799), a popular creator of moralizing tales for the Paris stage. Aubry’s two known forays into themes from Marmontel stem from the play The Shepherdess of the Alps, first published in 1766. Although the Nelson-Atkins painting was previously titled The Offending Son, based on the presumed infraction of the young man on his knees, I suggest here that it draws on a scene from a different Marmontel play titled Lucile, performed by the Comédie-Italienne in Paris in 1769.

Lucile opens with the titular character excitedly readying for her wedding day to her fiancé Dorval in her father Timante’s home. Chrisman-Campbell has confirmed the wedding-centric aspect of the Nelson-Atkins picture, noting the figure of the marchande de modes in the doorway, easy to identify by the bandbox under her arm. The translation “milliner,” which in modern times suggests hat-making, does not do this occupation justice. In the eighteenth century, a marchande de modes made bespoke gowns even more spectacular with individually selected gloves, lace, and other trimmings, as well as hats. This line of work was immortalized in many contemporaneous paintings and prints, most famously in François Boucher (1703–1770)’s

*The Milliner* (Fig. 2). In Boucher’s work, the marchande sits rather ignominiously on the floor as her well-heeled client looks through the available accessories in the bandbox.

In the Nelson-Atkins sketch, the dress sewn up by the couturière, or dressmaker, is tucked under the marchande’s arm. It is a deep blue fabric that reminds modern audiences that historical wedding dresses came in many colors; it was the luxuriousness of the fabric rather than the color that mattered. The marchande is not a listed character in the play’s libretto, but her presence is necessary to show that Lucile is getting ready for a momentous occasion. Since the setting is rather modest, one gets the sense that calling in the marchande de mode was not a regular occurrence for Lucile’s family, as it apparently was for the client in Boucher’s painting. This accessories saleswoman was employed to help Lucile choose her accessories for the special occasion of a wedding.

The marchande is being rushed out of the room after Lucile’s pre-nuptial preparations are interrupted by Blaise, the widower of Lucile’s wet nurse, who reveals the shocking family secret that Lucile was switched at

---

**Fig. 1.** Sketches on the verso prior to relining, Etienne Aubry, *Scene from Lucile*  
**Fig. 2.** François Boucher, *The Milliner*, 1746, oil on canvas, 13/16 x 20 7/8 in. (64 x 53 cm), Nationalmuseum, Stockholm, NM 772. Photo: Cecilia Heisser / Nationalmuseum. Public Domain
The practice of sending infants to nurses in the countryside was a subject of debate during the Enlightenment. It inspired treatises by thinkers like Jean-Jacques Rousseau (1712–1778) and canvases like Aubry’s pro-wet-nurse composition, First Lesson of Fraternal Friendship (32-167) and Jean-Baptiste Greuze’s The Nursemaids (31-61), which shows a more negative association with this practice. As the wet nurse’s dishonesty sets in motion the comedy of errors in Lucile, this play offers another, more lighthearted, take on the issue. To emphasize the point that this wedding day has not started in the best way, the earthenware tureen in the middle of the table at center is ajar. Broken crockery was a common metaphor for sexual impropriety in eighteenth-century genre scenes, but this piece’s lid is simply askew, and the viewer knows that all is easily put right.

The Nelson-Atkins picture repeats characters and motifs laid down in Aubry’s canvas The Shepherdess of the Alps (Fig. 3); he had a documented history of reusing old motifs, and this also tracks with a representation of eighteenth-century theater, where half the fun was seeing recognizable favorite characters onstage. The “hut” of Baucis and Philemon, the adoptive parents of the shepherdess Adélaïde, strongly resembles the setting in the Nelson-Atkins picture, especially in the makeshift wooden door and the curious niche in the back wall. Another painting of countryside morals by the aforementioned Théaulon titled The Return to the Village, or the Severe Mother (Fig. 4) has a similar niche on the back wall that is more fully modeled, with household objects sitting up on the bottom ledge to showcase the artist’s skill at creating the illusion of objects in space. Aubry’s niche remains oddly flat in both his canvases, uniting them and further bolstering support for the attribution of the Kansas City picture to Aubry.
Shepherdess of the Alps painting, now known only via an engraving by Robert Delaunay (1749–1814) (Fig. 5).

The penitent boy in the Delaunay engraving drops to one knee before his father, much like Lucile’s fiancé, Dorval, does in the Nelson-Atkins sketch. The boy here is Young Fonrose, who disguises himself as a shepherd to find the woman who came to the aid of his parents, the Count and Countess Fonrose, when their carriage broke down. Despite her “coarse straw hat and mean apparel,” they vow to assist her in return for her kind hospitality.14 Here, Young Fonrose apologizes to his father for running away and admits his love for Adélaïde. The count and countess, having believed their son dead, are initially livid, but their ire soon abates, making for the ideal ending to this happy play.

Aubry painted two scenes that allow the status of the Fonroses to contrast with that of Adélaïde, her family, and their rustic home. For example, the elderly woman in both compositions, probably the maid Julie, wears a shawl and a bonnet much like Countess Fonrose’s costume, though Julie’s shawl is black, not white, and her bonnet does not have fine silk ribbons like the Countess’s does. Lucile, like The Shepherdess of the Alps, is a class-mixing comedy that lightly ribs the elite for their adherence to superficial rules of dress and status. Lucile’s fiancé, Dorval, wears several expensive items, like a gray laced coat and red heels, but collapses at the first sign of difficulty.15 The heroines of both plays prove themselves worthy brides, perhaps better than their hapless suitors deserve, via the contents of their characters.

Glynnis Napier Stevenson
December 2023

Notes:


4. Johns to Marcereau DeGalan, January 21, 2022. The relining may have been done while the painting was with Johns between 1985 and 1996.

5. Aubry, who died at age thirty-six, had a very short Salon career, spanning only the 1770s.


7. Jean-François Marmontel and André-Ernest-Modeste Grétry, Lucile: Comédie en un acte, mêlée d’ariettes, représentée pour la première fois par les Comédiens italiens ordinaires du roi, le 5 janvier 1769 (Besançon: Chez Fantet, 1769).


11. For an in-depth look at the issue of wet nursing in eighteenth-century French society and painting, see Ivinski et al., *Goodbye to the Wet Nurse*.


13. Aubry regularly populates his canvases with recycled characters. The little boy with the drum, who does not appear in the *Lucile* cast list, nonetheless appears in the Nelson-Atkins picture and another Aubry of 1775, *Paternal Love*, at the Barber Institute of Fine Arts, Birmingham, UK.


15. Chrisman-Campbell identifies the chicness of the young man’s clothing but also emphasizes that none of it goes together. See Chrisman-Campbell to Stevenson, March 8, 2023. Perhaps this is meant to show his immaturity or the limitations of a theater’s costume department.
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Purchased from Colnaghi by Allan J. Katz (b. 1947) and Nancy E. Cohn (b. 1947), Kansas City, MO, May 10, 1996–February 24, 2022 [2];


**Notes:**

[1] See email from Derek Johns, Derek Johns Fine Art, to Aimee Marcereau DeGalan, NAMA, January 21, 2022, NAMA curatorial files. Johns acquired the painting from a sale in Paris (no catalogue/date), although he recalled that it was 1985. At the time, the painting was attributed to François Guerin. The late Greuze scholar, Anita Brookner (Courtauld), subsequently attributed the picture to Aubry.

Stephen Ongpin, who worked for P. and D. Colnaghi, New York, from 1986 to 1996, and then at the firm’s London gallery from 1996 to 2006, remembered that the sale was at the Hôtel Drouot in Paris and operated by the auctioneers Ader-Picard-Tajan, although he thought it was between 1993 and 1995. See email from Ongpin to Aimee Marcereau DeGalan, NAMA, December 15, 2021, NAMA curatorial files.

[2] Cohn and Katz offered the painting for sale at *Old Master Paintings Part II*, Christie’s, New York, January 31, 2013, lot 286, as by Etienne Aubry, *Le Fils Fauteur*; and at *Old Master Drawings*, Sotheby’s, New York, January 30, 2019, lot 74, as by Etienne Aubry, *The Offending Son (Le Fils Fauteur)*, but it failed to sell both times.
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Robert Delaunay, after Étienne Aubry, *An episode in “Sheperdess of the Alps” by Jean-François Marmontel*:...
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