Attributed to Sébastien Bourdon, *The Adoration of the Magi*, ca. 1639
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<th>Attributed to Sébastien Bourdon, French, 1616–1671</th>
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<td>Title</td>
<td><em>The Adoration of the Magi</em></td>
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<tr>
<td>Object Date</td>
<td>ca. 1639</td>
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<tr>
<td>Alternate and Variant Titles</td>
<td><em>L’Adoration des Rois, L’Adoration des Mages</em></td>
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<td>Medium</td>
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<td>Dimensions (Unframed)</td>
<td>25 1/8 x 36 7/8 in. (63.8 x 93.7 cm)</td>
</tr>
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<td>Credit Line</td>
<td>The Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art. Gift of Mr. and Mrs. Robert L. Bloch in honor of Geraldine E. Fowle, F85-20</td>
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For Protestant painters in seventeenth-century France, the question of whether to accept commissions from the Catholic Church was a thorny one. While the Church's patronage could provide a significant source of income, its persecution of the Huguenots during the French Wars of Religion (1562–1598) was difficult for many Protestants to overlook. Differences in religious doctrine and practice likewise deterred Protestant artists from seeking Catholic benefactors. Often, Protestant painters skirted these issues by limiting their production to still lifes, portraits, genre scenes, landscapes, and mythological or allegorical subjects. Such was not the case, however, with Sébastien Bourdon. Born in 1616 to a Protestant family in Montpellier, a well-known Protestant stronghold, Bourdon was married in the Protestant church and appointed painter to the Protestant court of Queen Christina of Sweden. Yet from a young age, he produced many biblical scenes and Catholic devotional images, exemplified by his ‘May painting’ of 1643 for the Cathedral of Notre Dame, *The Crucifixion of Saint Peter*. Bourdon was twenty-seven years old when he completed this important commission, one in a series of paintings by different artists that were donated annually to the cathedral on May 1.2

doi: 10.37764/78973.5.202
The Adoration of the Magi probably predates Bourdon’s May painting by several years. Like most of his work, it is unsigned and undated, making it difficult to place securely within his artistic output. The composition is clearly indebted to the Adoration of the Kings (Fig. 1) by Paolo Veronese (Italian, 1528–1588), painted in 1573 for the Church of Saint Sylvester in Venice. Bourdon’s kneeling magus and African page are strongly reminiscent of their counterparts in Veronese’s painting, while the furled flag mimics the diagonal created by Veronese’s shaft of light. Certain architectural components, such as the archway and truncated column, are common to both works, although the archway is absent from Bourdon’s preparatory study (Fig. 2). Bourdon’s contemporaries were critical of such borrowings, which they considered evidence of Bourdon’s failure to develop a truly personal style. André Félibien’s remarks are typical in this regard: “Sometimes he sought to emulate Titian’s treatment of color, sometimes Poussin’s compositions and manner, as he had done with Castiglione, without ever choosing a particular style.” In the case of the Nelson-Atkins picture, however, Bourdon’s appropriations may have been strategic, capitalizing on a fashion for Venetian painting in 1630s Paris.6

Even as he looked to his Venetian predecessors, Bourdon was also experimenting with original design elements that could be reused in other compositions. The armored soldier in the Nelson-Atkins picture resurfaces in a variant of Bourdon’s May painting (Fig. 3), and the turbaned magus reappears as a shepherd in Jacob Burying Laban’s Images (Fig. 4), his cloak and gesture virtually unchanged. The man peering around the column to catch a glimpse of the Christ child recurs in The Discovery of the Body of a Saint (ca. 1658–1665; Musée Fabre, Montpellier) and The
Adoration of the Magi (mid-17th century; National Gallery of Victoria, Melbourne), among others. Further examples abound, demonstrating that once Bourdon had painted a figure to his liking, he often inserted that figure into other scenes.

Fig. 4. Sébastien Bourdon, Jacob Burying Laban’s Images, ca. 1636–1642, oil on canvas, 37 3/8 x 50 13/16 in. (95 x 129 cm), The State Hermitage Museum, Saint Petersburg, F3-3662 7481

Although the Nelson-Atkins picture contains a selection of Bourdon’s signature motifs, a small number of scholars have cast doubt on the painting’s authenticity. When American dealer Germain Seligman (1893–1978) purchased The Adoration of the Magi from André de Haspe, a minor Parisian dealer, in 1961, he solicited the opinion of Jacques Thuillier, who judged it to be an early autograph work dating to 1637–1640. However, when the painting was sold at auction nearly twenty years later, the sale catalogue listed it as “attributed to Sébastien Bourdon.” The next owner, Robert L. Bloch, took an active interest in the painting’s attribution and contacted several scholars and connoisseurs, seeking their opinion. Charles Sterling, Denis Mahon, Jean-Patrice Marandel, Martin J. Zimet, Richard L. Feigen, and Anthony Blunt all assured Bloch that it was genuine. Around the same time, Geraldine Fowle delivered a presentation on Bourdon at the Eighth Annual Midwest Art History Society conference, in which she acknowledged The Adoration of the Magi’s somewhat uneven quality but interpreted it as “the sign—not of a copyist—but of a youthful mind and talent still searching to establish itself.”

When, in 2000, Thuillier published his catalogue raisonné of Bourdon’s oeuvre to coincide with the first monographic exhibition dedicated to this artist, he expressed reservations about The Adoration of the Magi that he had not voiced to Seligman forty years before: “We were unable to examine the painting, today in an American collection, but the photograph causes us to strongly doubt that it is the original.” This about-face may stem from Thuillier’s recent discovery of a replica in the collection of the Rijksmuseum Twenthe, Enschede (Fig. 5). Neither Fowle nor the experts whom Bloch contacted in 1980 seem to have been aware of its existence. (Marandel stated explicitly that he did not know of any other versions.) The dimensions of the two pictures are very close, making it unlikely that one was a preparatory study for the other: the Rijksmuseum Twenthe Adoration is marginally larger, at 25 11/16 x 37 3/8 inches, though Thuillier was under the false impression that it was considerably bigger. Given Thuillier’s confusion about the latter’s dimensions and his admission that he did not see either Adoration of the Magi in person, his misgivings about the Nelson-Atkins picture should not be taken as definitive.

More recently, Lorenzo Pericolo, a specialist in early modern religious art, examined The Adoration of the Magi during a visit to the Nelson-Atkins in 2015. He praised the quality and finish of the kneeling magus and his young page, particularly in comparison to the Enschede version, and suggested that Bourdon had left certain passages of both versions deliberately sketchy in order to focus attention on the Virgin and Christ child. Pericolo dismissed the idea that the Nelson-Atkins Adoration was done by an assistant or copyist, expressing confidence that it was, rather, “a variant by Bourdon.” Little is known about Bourdon’s studio.
practice, but scholars generally agree that he did not employ assistants until at least 1643, the year he completed his May painting. It seems doubtful that Bourdon would have hired any assistants before this watershed commission, as he himself was still an up-and-coming artist in his early twenties.

The possibility of autograph copies has never been raised in the literature on Bourdon, although several of his early works (pre-1643) are known to have replicas, and some of these could conceivably be by his hand. In the case of The Adoration of the Magi, the patchy historical record further complicates this question. Nothing is known about the circumstances under which either version was painted. It was not until the second half of the eighteenth century that the Nelson-Atkins painting (or its replica) surfaced in a series of public auctions, beginning with the sale of Pierre-Charles, Marquis du Plessis-Villette (1700–1765), in 1765. At this sale, an Adoration des Rois canvas measuring 24 x 34 1/2 pouces (a French pre-revolutionary measurement approximately equivalent to an inch) was offered as the work of Bourdon. A year later, an Adoration des Rois painting of nearly identical dimensions was featured in the sale of an anonymous collector at the Hôtel des Américains. After a brief interval in private hands, it reemerged at the sales of Le Doux in 1775; Joseph Hyacinthe François de Paul de Rigaud, Comte de Vaudreuil (1740–1817), in 1787; Laurent Grimod de la Reynière (1734–1793) in 1793; and finally an anonymous collector in 1797.

A detailed description of the painting from the Comte de Vaudreuil sale leaves little doubt that the Comte owned either the Nelson-Atkins picture or the Rijksmuseum Twenthe painting. Determining which one is difficult, however, because the compositional differences between them are so slight. The architecture behind the Virgin, for instance, is more clearly delineated in the Kansas City picture, and a small patch of blue sky, absent in the Enschede version, is visible above her head. The most noticeable discrepancy today lies in the treatment of the sky, which is brighter and less ominous in the Nelson-Atkins painting—but this color scheme is due largely to retouching from a past restoration.

During the nineteenth century, both pictures once again disappeared from the historical record. The Rijksmuseum Twenthe Adoration of the Magi resurfaced at the J. F. Austen sale in London in 1921, while the Nelson-Atkins version passed into the collection of a certain Madame Lauren, about whom nothing is known. Archival documentation places the Nelson-Atkins painting in the stock of André de Haspe by 1961. However, the lengthy gap between these transactions and the abovementioned sales is such that neither painting can be tied to the eighteenth-century auctions with any degree of certainty. It could be that two separate paintings, both considered autograph works by Bourdon, were on the market in the same decades, but without further information we can only speculate.

When the Nelson-Atkins picture was examined, no changes were detected that would unambiguously denote Bourdon’s hand. The Rijksmuseum Twenthe Adoration of the Magi has not undergone an equivalent technical examination, nor have the two paintings ever been studied side by side. Both pictures would certainly benefit from such a comparison, which—even if it could not settle questions of authenticity—might establish which version was made first.

While a majority of scholars recognize the Nelson-Atkins Adoration of the Magi as an authentic work by Bourdon, the few dissenting opinions, the incomplete provenance narrative, and the lack of information about the role of autograph copies in Bourdon’s artistic practice all support the designation of “attributed to Bourdon.” Even so, the Nelson-Atkins painting offers valuable insight into an early biblical composition by Bourdon, a Protestant painter who secured important religious commissions in a Catholic-majority state. Maligned by Félibien and other contemporary critics as a touché-à-tout (joke of all trades, master of none), Bourdon nevertheless developed a versatile iconography befitting an artist who sought to excel in multiple genres.

Brigid M. Boyle
August 2016

Notes


3. Bourdon so rarely signed or dated his work that Thuillier found suspect the presence of a date in the upper left quadrant of Bourdon’s The Card Players

The Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art | French Paintings and Pastels, 1600–1945
4. Geraldine Fowlle, “The Biblical Paintings of Sébastien Bourdon” (PhD diss., University of Michigan, 1970), 1:82–83. Fowlle points out that Bourdon could have known the composition either from the original, which he may have seen in situ in 1636, or from Simon Vouet’s (1590–1649) painting for the Hôtel Ségurier, which was closely inspired by the same work.


7. Originally thought to be a *modello* for Bourdon’s May painting, the Spencer’s picture is now considered an independent, finished work produced at a slightly later date; see https://spencerartapps.ku.edu/collection-search/#/Object/15193.


10. Sterling wrote: “Judging by the photograph, there is little doubt in my mind that you bought an original by Sébastien Bourdon.” Mahon concurred: “I do indeed agree, from the photos, that your painting must be the work of Bourdon.” Marandel responded: “It is a fine work by Bourdon and I don’t see any reason to doubt its authenticity.” Zimet stated definitively: “This painting, measuring 26 x 37 1/2 inches (66 x 95.2 cm) is an early work by the artist, dating circa 1638–9. The condition is excellent.” Feigen was less definitive but still supported the attribution to Bourdon: “I congratulate you on your acquisition of what appears to be a fine early Bourdon.” Blunt echoed Sterling and Mahon’s remarks: “From the photograph your painting looks like a very fine Bourdon.” See Charles Sterling to Robert L. Bloch, September 28, 1980; Denis Mahon to Robert L. Bloch, September 29, 1980; Jean-Patrice Marandel to Robert L. Bloch, October 27, 1980; Martin J. Zimet to Robert L. Bloch, February 27, 1981; Richard L. Feigen to Robert L. Bloch, April 17, 1981; and Anthony Blunt to Robert L. Bloch, undated, NAMA curatorial files.

11. See Geraldine E. Fowlle, “Sébastien Bourdon’s ‘Adoration of the Magi’: A Problem in Juvenilia,” paper presented at the Eighth Annual Meeting of the Midwest Art History Society, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN, March 27, 1981, transcript in NAMA curatorial files. As Fowlle observes, the arch is “lamentably askew,” and the recession of space in the left background is not altogether convincing.

12. Thuiller, *Sébastien Bourdon*, 207. “Nous n’avons pu examiner le tableau, actuellement conservé aux États-Unis, mais la photographie donne de grands doutes quant à sa qualité d’original.”


14. For the correct dimensions, see Qurine Van der Meer to Brigid M. Boyle, June 4, 2014, NAMA curatorial files. In Thuiller’s catalogue raisonné, the dimensions of the Rijksmuseum Twente painting are erroneously given as 90 x 110 cm (35 7/16 x 43 5/16 in.).

15. Pericolo did not have an opportunity to see the Enschede version in person prior to visiting the Nelson-Atkins, but he did have access to a high-resolution color photograph of the Rijksmuseum Twente painting, which was unavailable to Thuiller when he was preparing the catalogue raisonné. In Pericolo’s estimation, the Enschede version was executed with “a looser hand.” See notes from discussion with Lorenzo Pericolo, Associate Professor, History of Art, University of Warwick, May 27, 2015, NAMA curatorial files.

16. See Pericolo discussion, NAMA curatorial files.

17. Thuiller, *Sébastien Bourdon*, 64–70. His assistants may have included Nicolas Loyr (b. 1624), Antoine Paillet (1626–1701), Théodore van der Schur (b. 1628), Pierre Monier (1641–1703), Jacques Prou (b. ca. 1640), and Jacques Friquet (1638–1716). Loyr seems to have apprenticed with Vouet before joining Bourdon’s studio, while Monier and Prou were probably students of Bourdon at the Académie.
Royale. Records indicate that Friquet assisted Bourdon with the decoration of the Hôtel Bretonvilliers, a very important commission.

18. See, for instance, King Solomon Making Sacrifices to the Idols (nos. 3-I and 3-II), Lamentation over the Dead Christ (nos. 5-I, 5-II, and 5-III), and Presentation in the Temple (nos. 73-I and 73-II). All numbers refer to the catalogue raisonné.


20. Schafer technical notes, NAMA conservation files. Prior to restoration, the sky in the Nelson-Atkins picture was a more muted gray-blue.

21. Until recently, the Adoration of the Magi at the J. F. Austen sale was mistaken for the Nelson-Atkins picture. However, correspondence with Christie’s and the Rijksmuseum Twenthe confirms that lot 25 was purchased by the dealer W. E. Duits and sold shortly thereafter to Jan Bernard van Heek, who donated it to the Rijksmuseum Twenthe in 1922. See Anna Covatta to Brigid M. Boyle, November 15, 2013; and Quirine van der Meer to Brigid M. Boyle, June 4, 2014, NAMA curatorial files.

22. Schafer technical notes, NAMA conservation files.

The Adoration of the Magi was executed on a medium weight, plain-weave canvas. The majority of its tacking margins are no longer extant and were likely removed in preparation for an early lining, creating uncertainty as to the original dimensions of the painting. However, along the top and bottom edges, remnants of the original turnover edge and tacking margins are visible in a few locations, revealing that the vertical dimensions are unchanged. No similar remnants remain on the right and left tacking edges, and approximately three millimeters of the picture plane was folded onto the right tacking margin. However, when the size of the Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art painting (63.8 x 93.7 centimeters) is compared to another, near-identical version, also attributed to Bourdon, located at the Rijksmuseum Twenthe (65.2 x 95 centimeters), the width of the Nelson-Atkins painting does not appear to have been significantly altered.¹ The pronounced cusping along the top edge and a slightly coarser canvas weave may relate to the selvage edge from a larger canvas production, before it was cut for this painting. Slight cusping on the bottom, left, and right is equidistant and is likely secondary cusping.

The canvas was prepared with a double ground, consisting of a lower layer colored red-brown and an upper layer that is light gray.² The warm lower ground layer is visible in only a few small losses along the top turnover edge (Fig. 6) but is otherwise covered by the upper ground layer. The gray layer, treated as an imprimatura, covers the entire picture plane and is utilized within the composition as a midtone. This is especially noticeable within some faces, where the cool gray tone provides contrast to the warmer peach tones. For example, within Mary’s face, strokes of light peach form the highlights along the nose, forehead, and brow, while the gray tone provides a transition between the highlights and shadows (Fig. 7). Here, juxtaposing the cool ground with the warm peach skin tones provides the illusion of form.
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Fig. 6. Photomicrograph of the lower red-brown and upper gray ground layers on the top turnover edge, Adoration of the Magi (ca. 1639)

Fig. 7. Detail of Mary’s face with the exposed gray upper ground layer, Adoration of the Magi (ca. 1639)
While no underdrawing was discovered through infrared reflectography or microscopy, the placement of compositional elements was established first with thin, transparent brown washes. Throughout the painting, the artist developed the forms of both figures and architecture by building these washes into modeling shadows. With some figures, such as the central male figure peering from behind the column, the washes remain prominent (Fig. 8). Here, the face of the figure is almost exclusively composed from these washes, with only a few other strokes of orange paint to define the high points of the face and hand. In other figures, such as the young page in white, the brown washes clearly remain visible in the shadows. The figure, however, was more highly rendered both in the face and clothing with opaque pigments (Fig. 9).

Prior to entering the Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art collection, the painting received at least two restoration campaigns. Possibly early in its history, the tacking margins were trimmed, and the painting was glue-paste lined. In 1981, this lining was removed and replaced with a wax-resin lining, and the stretcher was replaced. During this campaign, the degraded varnish layer was removed, and a synthetic varnish was applied. The extent of retouching or inpainting currently present on the painting is unclear. While the inpainting completed during the 1981 campaign is relatively minor, examination with ultraviolet radiation revealed possible larger retouching or overpaint campaigns within the sky. Due to the apparent age of this retouching, it has discolored slightly, and it now produces a UV-induced fluorescence similar to the original paint layer.

Unsurprisingly, the Holy Family and the three Magi are the most polished of the figures, with attention to shadows, midtones, and highlights. Thin, fine brushwork was applied to form the hair and beards of Joseph and the kneeling magus (Fig. 10), while heavier, painterly strokes create the sense of form and weight of the clothing in the same central figure and his resting turban (Fig. 11). Within the figures, moving right to left in the composition, gradually less attention to finish is found. This is true even within individual figures, such as the soldier, where the proper left hand is highly rendered, and the proper right is a shadowed sketch (Fig. 12). Once the figures had been finalized, the artist completed the background architecture and then sky, as is evident by the slight overlap of the blue from the sky atop the edges of the figures. Less attention was given to the sky and architecture, which retain a less refined, sketchy appearance in comparison to the figures.
An overall crack pattern across the painting could indicate that the canvas was rolled at one time. Abrasion is visible throughout the composition, most noticeably along the peaks of cracks, within the clouds, across Mary’s blue mantle, and within the Christ Child’s face. It is unclear if the brighter blue highlights of Mary’s mantle are original, as they lack the three-dimensional shape the artist achieved in all other fabrics in this composition. Instead, these highlights could relate to an early restoration campaign to address the abrasion.

Diana M. Jaskiery
February 2023

Notes

1. While the Nelson-Atkins painting might have been cropped, it is unlikely that either one was significantly altered, as both compositions contain the same elements.

2. The use of double grounds was common among many seventeenth-century French artists, including Nicolas Poussin (1594–1665), an artist admired by Bourdon who later lectured on his technique. Geraldine Elizabeth Fowlie, *The Biblical Paintings of Sébastien Bourdon* (PhD diss., University of Michigan, 1970), 1:7. For more information on Poussin’s technique, see Mary Schafer and John Twilley, *Nicolas Poussin, The Triumph of Bacchus, 1635–1636,* technical entry in this catalogue.

3. Through infrared reflectography, it was determined that the architecture does not pass beneath the figures. This indicates that the placement of the figures was established before the architecture was completed.

4. The same general pattern of finish is found in the Rijksmuseum Twenthe version.


7. When examining the painting with ultraviolet radiation, no differentiation between these highlights and the surrounding paint could be detected. While this indicates that the highlights do not relate to a recent restoration, it does not determine whether they are original or an early restoration.
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Provenance

Possibly Pierre-Charles, marquis du Plessis-Villette (1700–1765), Paris, by April 8, 1765;

Possibly purchased at his sale, Tableaux, de Différens Bons Maîtres des Trois Écoles, De Figures de Bronze, de Bustes de Marbre, d’Estampes montées sous verre, et d’Estampes en Feuilles, après le Décès de M. le Marquis de Villette, [Pere [sic], l’Hôtel d’Elbeuf, rue de Vaugirard, Paris, April 8, 1765, lot 30, as Sébastien Bourdon, Une Adoration des Rois, by Jean-Baptiste-Pierre Le Brun (1748–1813), Paris, 1765 [1];

Possibly purchased at the sale of M. ***, Tableaux du Cabinet de Monsieur ***: Špavoir, Tableaux, Desseins, Estampes, Bronzes, Bustes de marbre, Gains de marbre et de bois, Porcelaines différentes, montées et non montées, Meubles, Pendules, Feux, Bras de cheminées, Secrétaires, etc., Hôtel des Américains, rue Saint Honoré, Paris, December 15, 1766, lot 16, as Sébastien Bourdon, l’Adoration des Rois, by Pierre-François Basan (1723–1797), Paris, 1766 [2].

Possibly le Doux Collection, by April 24, 1775 [3];

The Nelson-Atkins Museum of Art | French Paintings and Pastels, 1600–1945
Possibly purchased at his sale, *Une Précieuse Collection de Tableaux, Bronzes, Matbres, Porcelaines, Lacques, Pierres gravées et autres Pierres précieuses, Meubles et objets de curiosité, Provenans du Cabinet de M. le Doux*, Maison de Saint Louis, rue Saint Antoine, Paris, April 24, 1775, lot 46, as Sébastien Bourdon, *L’adoration des Rois*, by Feuillet, Paris, 1775 [4];

Possibly Joseph-Hyacinthe-François de Paule de Rigaud, Comte de Vaudreuil (1740–1817), Paris, by November 26, 1787;


Possibly purchased at his sale, *Tableaux Formant le Cabinet de M. de Lareynière; Composé en partie des Tableaux des plus grands Maîtres de l’Ecole Française: on y distingue, par-dessus tout, les chef-d’œuvres de l’immortel Lemoyne, les seuls, pour ainsi dire, qui soient connus, Salle de Vente, 96 rue de Cléry, Paris, April 3, 1793, lot 8, as Sébastien Bourdon, L’adoration des Rois, by Defor, 1793 [6];

Possibly purchased at the sale of M. . . . *Une Belle Collection de Tableaux des Trois Écoles, Et autres Objets curieux; du Cabinet de M. . . .*, ancien hôtel Notre-Dame, rue du Bouloy, Paris, June 16, 1797, lot 6, as Sébastien Bourdon, *L’adoration des Mages*, by Trudaine, 1797 [7];

Laurens Collection, Montpellier [8];

With André de Haspe, Paris, by May 15–June 2, 1961 [9];

Purchased from de Haspe by Germain Seligman (1893–1978), New York, June 2, 1961–March 27, 1978 [10];

Possibly inherited by his wife, Ethylene Jackson Seligman (1906–1993), New York, 1978 [11];


Notes

[1] Annotated sales catalogue at the Bibliothèque Publique et Universitaire, Geneva, records "LeBrun" as the buyer. It is unclear whether the painting in this sale was the Nelson-Atkins picture or the version attributed to Bourdon in the collection of the Rijksmuseum Twenthe, Enschede.

[2] Annotated sales catalogue at the Bibliothèque Publique et Universitaire, Geneva, records "Basan" as the buyer. It is unclear whether the painting in the sale was the Nelson-Atkins or the version attributed to Bourdon in the collection of the Rijksmuseum Twenthe, Enschede.

[3] M. le Doux may have been Paul-Guillaume Ledoux (d. 1781), a painter at the Académie de Saint-Luc who was active as a dealer from the early-1750s through the mid-1770s.

[4] Annotated sales catalogue at the Bibliothèque municipale de Versailles records "Feuillet" (believed to be a misspelling of "Feuillet") as the buyer. Between 1768 and 1784, a buyer named "Feuillet" bid on 249 works of art in 49 different sales. This may have been Jean-Baptiste Feuillet (d. 1806), a director of the Académie de Saint-Luc and well-known dealer. It is unclear whether the painting in this sale was the Nelson-Atkins or the version attributed to Bourdon in the collection of the Rijksmuseum Twenthe, Enschede.

[5] Annotated sales catalogues at the Bibliothèque d’Art et d’Archéologie, Paris; the Bibliothèque Municipale, Orléans; and the British Museum, London, record "LeBrun" as the buyer. Lebrun acted as an agent for Grimod de La Reynière. It is unclear whether the painting in the sale was the Nelson-Atkins or the version attributed to Bourdon in the collection of the Rijksmuseum Twenthe, Enschede. The version featured in this sale was subsequently sold in the Grimod de La Reynière sale; see description for lot 8.

[6] Annotated sales catalogue at the Bibliothèque d’Art et d’Archéologie, Paris, records "Defer" as the buyer of lots 8 and 14. Lot 14 was later resold at Radix de Sainte-Foy’s sale on January 16, 1811 (lot 33), and an annotated sales catalogue at the Bibliothèque d’Art et d’Archéologie, Paris, records "de Fer de Lanoyar" in the provenance of lot 33. "De Fer de Lanoyar" may thus be the full name of the buyer that purchased lot 8 at the Grimod de La Reynière sale.

[7] Annotated sales catalogue at the Bibliothèque Publique et Universitaire, Geneva, records the buyer as "Trudaine." It is unclear whether the painting in the sale was the Nelson-Atkins or the version attributed to Bourdon in the collection of the Rijksmuseum Twenthe, Enschede.

[8] Per Jacques Seligmann & Co. records, Archives of American Art, Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C., Series 12.2 "Private Art Collection," Box 426, Folder 13. This collector is usually described as "Madame Laurens" in the literature.

[10] Per Jacques Seligmann & Co. records, Archives of American Art, Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C., Series 7.11.2 “Sales Ledgers, 1931–1973,” Box 331, Folder 5, no. 8637. Although the painting was assigned a stock number (no. 8637), Seligman purchased it for his private collection, not his gallery.

[11] Although most of Germain Seligman’s private collection was purchased by Artemis S. A. and E. V. Thaw and Co. and published in John Richardson, The Collection of Germain Seligman: Paintings, Drawings, and Works of Art (New York: E. V. Thaw, 1979), this painting may have been one of the few personal bequests Seligman made to his wife.

Interestingly, Jackson Seligman was the Nelson-Atkins secretary to the museum’s first director, Paul Gardner, from 1933 until 1946. During World War II when Gardner was drafted (1942–1945), Jackson Seligman served as Interim Director: the museum’s first and only woman to serve as director. For more on Jackson Seligman, see https://missouriartists.org/person/morem234/.

Preparatory Works

Sébastien Bourdon, L’Adoration des Mages, ca. 1639, pen, brown ink, brown wash, with traces of black chalk, 7 5/8 x 15 1/16 in (19.4 x 38.2 cm), Musée du Louvre, Paris, inv. 24998.

Known Copies

Attributed to Sébastien Bourdon, L’Adoration des mages, 17th century, oil on canvas, 25 11/16 x 37 3/8 in (65.2 x 95.0 cm), Rijksmuseum Twenthe, Enschede, The Netherlands, 0547.
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